SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_15876**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Oceanic Niño Index as a tool to determine, in advance, the effect of rain on coffee cultivation in Colombia**
Type of the Article	**Review article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**-Writing of abstract should be in block form and not necessarily include the word aims, place and duration of study, methodology, results and conclusion. What is important is that all of these should content the abstract of the study.** **- if you used number in your citation such as in line 13,** Peña et al. need not to be written in the text but should be written in full in your reference corresponding to no. [1] as mentioned. This applies to all citations.	We accept the recommendation on the format of the summary and bibliography.
Minor REVISION comments	Suggested title: Oceanic Niño Index as a tool to determine the effect of weather on coffee plantation in Colombia	We accept the recommendation
Optional/General comments	There were grammatical and typo errors found in the paper that needs to be addressed.	We accept the recommendation and review the grammatical and typographical errors. We also make changes in the maps conventions suggestions of other Reviewer.