



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15969
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Assessment of Tubewell Water for Irrigation and Impact on Soil and Crops in Central Punjab, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract is short and presented in typical words. In the study area figure- country, state, district and then actual local location should be necessary for correct location. Data is given in tabular form. Spelling of some words are incomplete, need to correct it e.g. in abstract line 9	✓ Abstract has been modified accordingly. ✓ The requisite information on the Figure in "Study area" has been included. ✓ This observation is not clear. In the manuscript, all data were graphical form. However, in the revised version some data are included in Tabular form as required by other reviewers. ✓ Spell-check is applied to the whole document.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Need to Check the spacing between the some words e.g. line No. 28, 30 125 etc.	Checked and corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall drafting and presentation of paper is good	Thank you for the compliments
---	--	-------------------------------