



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16386
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of vermiwash obtained from different sources (neem, rice straw and bagasse) and standardised hydroponics solution on the growth of Colocasia esculenta (Australian poi) in Guyana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an interesting paper highlighting the importance of vermiwash as one of organic farming resources. However there is one doubt regarding the value of OC and N in the table giving physicochemical values of vermiwash . I would request the authors to recheck the values	1. The values of vermiwash with reference to Organic carbon (%) and nitrogen (mg/l). I have checked the value and since vermiwash is a liquid fertilizer (extract through earthworm worked soil and vermicompost), the nutrients are present in highly dilute form. For liquids C:N ratio is not calculated. I have also referred research papers on this issue and the values confirm to earlier research (Ismail, S.A. 2005. The Earthworm Book. Other India Press: Goa).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	These have been mared in the text	All the marked corrections are done.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		