



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16388
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' perceptions of the effectiveness of the Cocoa Disease and Pest Control Programme (CODEPEC) in Ghana and its effects on poverty reduction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is one of the very well written papers that I have come across	Line 11 Keywords 1. Cocoa Disease and Pest Control This has been corrected to Cocoa Disease and Pest Control (CODAPEC). 2. Line 312: Table 4 What is N? N has been changed to Number of farmers. .
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is marked in the text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a good piece of work done by the authors and I would like to congratulate them for their efforts.	