



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16965
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different sowing dates on growth and grain yield of chickpea (<i>Cicerarietinum</i> L.) cultivars under agro-environment of TalukaDokri Sindh, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are many spelling mistakes in the text, should be corrected.	Mistakes are corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Methodology of the study should be supported with reference. In the text, citations should be indicated by the only reference number in brackets. Line 65, number of title should be corrected as "2" with Material and Methods. Line 98 should be "3", Line 180 should be "4". Subsections should be numbered. The Latin name of chickpea should be corrected. Double spacing should be maintained throughout the table. Figure legends should be given below the figures. Statistical analysis given in figures should be below the figures. The figure caption should be typed separately, not on the figure area. <i>P</i> values should be italicized and capitalized, besides, expressed to 2 digits whether or not it is significant (<i>P</i>	Corrected. Corrected. Corrected Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. I think it is good. I think it is good. I think it is good. Corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	=.01 or $P = .05$). Key words should not be given in parentheses. Line 81-82, the name of pesticides should be corrected, and it is better to give only active ingredients. Acknowledgements and Competing interests should be added to the text. If no, "Authors have declared that no competing interests exist." The punctuation signals are not compatible with instruction. Only recommended SI units should be used. Title of reference should be corrected as "References". All references style should be revised according to the instruction.	Corrected. Corrected. Added. Checked and Corrected. Checked and corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Title can be shortened as "Effect of different sowing dates on growth and grain yield of chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) cultivars in Sindh, Pakistan." Manuscript should be checked again according to the author guideline.	