



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16997
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of probiotic, carbohydrase enzyme and their combination on the performance, histomorphology and gut microbiota in broilers fed wheat-based diets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lines 62-65. Review and rewrite. Line 181. Please indicate why you multiply the graticule in micrometers (μm) by the multiplication factor of 0.138305755 and if possible, add the reference. Line 342. Delete period between references Line 354. Delete period between references 59 and 61	Lines 62-65 has been revised. Line 181: The figure “0.138305755” was the standard factor for the particular eyepiece graticule of the Microscope used for the measurement. The result was obtained by multiplying the measurement by the magnification and also by the standard factor of “0.138305755. The reference is Be (2010). Be, D.J.B.(2010). Development of Novel Image Analysis Methods for the Morphological Quantification of Filamentous Fungi. A Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the School of Biological Science, Dublin Institute of Technology, Republic of Ireland, pp 84. Line 342 - The period between references [56] and [57]. Reference 56 ends a statement while reference 57 begins another statement. It was not an error. This clarification is applicable to references [59] and [61] as well.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		