



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17083
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of <i>Xylopi</i> <i>aethiopica</i> Dried Fruits (Grains Of Selim) As Additive on Performance, Microbial Response and Blood Parameters in Finisher Broilers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript „Effect of Xylophia aethiopica Dried Fruits (Grains Of Selim) As Additive on Performance, Microbial Response and Blood Parameters in Finisher Broilers” (see comments). The article provides information on the effect of Xylophia aethiopica dried fruits as additive on performance, microbial response and blood parameters in finisher broilers, and is generally well-structured and approach a topic which is of interest for broiler breeders, but a similar study was approached in the paper of Isikwenu et al., (2014) „Performance, Antimicrobial Effect and Carcass Parameters of Finisher Broilers Given Xylophia aethiopica Dried Fruits (Grains of Selim) as Additive”. The authors of this manuscript uses full sentences from the paper of Isikwenu et al., (2014) in many parts of the paper (abstract, introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion), but not quoted this author in the references list. Thus, the manuscript has 42 references, but 23 citations can be found in the paper of Isikwenu et al., (2014). Nevertheless, in this manuscript were studied the serological and hematological parameters, which were not subject in the paper of Isikwenu et al., (2014). I think it is necessary a careful revision of the manuscript and reformulation of sentences taken from the work of Isikwenu et al., (2014). Also, the paper of	Observation on Isikwenu et al. (2014) was correct. This Author is the same lead Author in Isikwenu et al. (2014) who have been working on Xylophia aethiopica in broiler production. However, the Authors were not yet aware that the work has been published by Agric. Trop. Et SubTrop. of De Gruyter publishers. All citations from Isikwenu et al. (2014) have been properly referenced in the text and added to the reference list. Tables have been placed into their appropriate positions as suggested. All similar sentences from Isikwenu et al. (2014) have been restructured and or modified. Corrections are well highlighted in the text.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Isikwenu et al., (2014) must be entered in the reference list. Is required reorganization of the manuscript: Tables 1 and 2 will be introduced after row 69, tables 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 will be introduced in results section not in discussions. Should be corrected: Row 121 - Will be written feed conversion ratio not food conversion ratio. Row 184 - to be corrected creatinie? Row 134-135 reformulation the last sentence - is not clear. Please, revise the text. I think that the authors should reformulation of sentences taken from the work of Isikwenu et al., (2014). The authors should to do careful revision of the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		