**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **American Journal of Experimental Agriculture** |
| Manuscript Number: | **2015_AJEA_17111** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Field Evaluation of Levo Botanical Insecticide for the Management of Insect Pests of Eggplant (Solanum melongena L.)** |
| Type of the Article | |

## General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **LINE 2: THE AUTHOR SHOULD SHOW THE LINK AND AVOID REPETITION(VEGETABLE ARE IMPORTANT....., VEGETABLE ARE IMPORTANT.....)**<br><br>**2) PROVIDE CURRENT REFERENCES FOR EXPORT TRENDS OF THE VEGETABLE EITHER IN GHANA OR WORLD AT LARGE.**<br><br>**3)REFENCES OF THOSE LISTED INSECTS**<br><br>**4) UNDER HEADING 3.4 THERE IS NEED TO PROVIDE TOTAL ARE SINCE YOU WILL CONERT TO YIELD/HACTARE.**<br>**5) UNDER HEADING 3.5 PLEASE SPECIFY THE DATES OF TRANSPLANTING.**<br>**6) THOSE TREATMENTS SHOULD BE UNDER 3.4 HEADING**<br>**7) EXPLAIN OR INCLUDE THE REASONS OF SAMPLING IN THE MORNING**<br>**8) UNDER 3.9 HEADING, I THINK YOU SHOULD WRITE AS FOLLOWS: THE FOLLOWING DATA WERE ALSO RECODED/ESTIMATED.** | 1. The link has been provided.<br><br>2. Trend provided with a reference<br><br>3. Reference Provided<br>4. Total area provided<br><br>5. Dates provided<br><br>6. Placed under 3.4<br><br>7. Reason provided |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | | |
| **Optional/General** comments | THE PAPER IS GOOD | |