



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17264
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF GROWING GRASSCUTTERS FED A CONCENTRATE DIET WITHOUT SUPPLEMENTATION WITH FORAGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The idea of developing commercial food having more impact on growth performance is good. As there is no graphical representation of the results, it is recommended that the results interpretation in graphs will be more eye-catching. Table -3 should be represented by graphs, may be separated graphs for each nutrient will show comparative difference among treatments.	Reviewer's suggestion is accepted. If the graphs are very necessary, I would request additional 7 days to enable me produce them. Otherwise, I humbly request that the article be published without the suggested graphs. The suggestion is highly appreciated and is an additional research experience.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		