



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17271
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Shoot Tip and Flower Removal on Growth and Yield of Spider Plant (Cleome Gynandra L.) in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work has been comprehensively carried out and the manuscript is properly prepared. However, there is a suggestion to change trial 1 and trial 2 to reflect the seasons used in the work. The data was analysed by comparing among the treatment not the trial. Therefore it is not appropriate to compare the finding between trials as found in Line 164.	The term "trial" has been changed to "season" as per the suggestion of the reviewer. The description of season 1 and 2 are found in section 2.1. There seems to be a mistake in the line reference number, and because of this the feedback is not clear. We would request further clarification if changes in addition to the ones mentioned above should be made.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		