



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17301
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity and morphological characterization of mushrooms at the tropical moist deciduous forest region of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 29: replace grown with found Line 33: replace hector with hectares Line 35: rainfalls should be one word Line 47: there should be a comma after collect Line 48: morphological characterization should be changed to morphologically characterize Line 53: replace July 2013 to October 2013 and July 2014 to October 2014 with July to October, 2013 and 2014 Line 55: add s to area, is should be replaced by are Line 88: add s to spore Line 97: put space between <i>Collybia</i> sp. Line 97: replace are instead of were Line 222: put space between <i>Hebeloma</i> sp. Line 223: put space between genera and species Line 659: sawdust is one word Line 664: remove of after species and after genera Line 709: remove sp. after <i>Collybia</i> delete has a and replace it with is Line 713: were done in the rainy season should replace was done in rainy season Line 714: add s on all the area	Line 29: the word had been replaced Line 33: the word had been replaced Line 35: corrected as per comment Line 47: corrected as per comment Line 48: the word had been changed Line 53: the format of writing date had been changed as per comment Line 55: corrected as per comment Line 88: corrected as per comment Line 97: corrected as per comment Line 97: corrected as per comment Line 222: corrected as per comment Line 223: corrected as per comment Line 659: the word had been corrected Line 664: removal had been done Line 709: replace had been done Line 713: replace had been done Line 714: the "s" had been added
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		