



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17532
Title of the Manuscript:	Temporal dynamics of Napier Grass Stunt Disease as influenced by Napier grass clones and initial inoculum
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are few corrections which are indicated in the manuscript. There are some concerns: 1. Figure 3 is indicated in the manuscript but has not been discussed in the text. Please add this information in the text. 2. The authors discuss about the PCR analysis in the materials and methods but nothing has been discussed in results section. Please include the required information in results section. 3. In order to have a better comparison it would be better to scale Figure 1 C similar to Figure 1A and B. Detail comments: See the comments on manuscript.	Fig. 3 is in the text line 121. The PCR was used to confirm the presence of the pathogen (phytoplasma) that causes NGSD in the plant and is not a major result of the study, thus omitted. All the suggestions in the manuscript were attended to and highlighted in yellow in the revised version of the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		