



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16669
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rapid Morphological Screening Procedure for Pea Under Drought Stress in Greenhouse Settings
New Title:	A Rapid Morphological Screening Procedure for Pea (<i>Pisum spp.</i>) Under Drought Stress in Greenhouse Settings
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
The graph types and graphed data in Fig 1 and 2 changed back to column graphs. It is still appropriate, but standard error bars are not described. The statistics are described after table 1 under the various discussions, but the exact p-values are still not included in the table. Even though statistics are indicated in table 2, no reference is given at these discussions to table 2. The inclusion of standard deviation values in table 2 is an improvement, but is still not the same as including the exact p-value. The conclusion reverted back to the original manuscript version. The details added in the first revision were removed.	Thank you. The change back to column graph was requested by two reviewers, and actually it is exactly how one of the reviewers suggested. There are error bars. The exact p-values are under the discussion because the type of statistics that were requested by some reviewers “Fischer’s LSD showing the letters”. It tells on that table that the groups that do not share a letter are significant different under p-value<0.05! Again, we just follow the suggestions of the majority of reviewers. Lastly, the reviewer number two requested us to put back the original conclusion and remove all the details (I have the track changes here). Do you think would it be possible if the reviewers meet and come to one direction? We have been back and forth redoing all the statistics, graphs and tables...