



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13359
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparatives effectiveness of two vetiveria grasses species Chrysopogon zizanoides and Chrysopogon nigritana for the remediation of soil contaminated with heavy metals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Table 3 to Table 7 the mean values should include the Standard Error (SE) ie Mean±SE which makes it easy for reader to compare the results Table 4 $P \leq 0.0$ should read $P \leq 0.05$ this needs clarification for levels of significance for Duncan Multiple Range test (ADMART) Table 4 have indicated 4, 4 which needs clarification can it be 4WAP, 4WAP Table 5 to Table 7 the figures of LSD have been indicated but the levels of significance have not been indicated for analysis of variation(ANOVA) Table 5 to Table 7 have shown results but the discussion is not well articulated. There is need for discussion after presentation of results in the tables so as to compare the results from this study with other findings from similar previous studies	$P \leq 0.05$ Corrections effected Corrections effected
Minor REVISION comments	References in text not included in the list of references at the end of the manuscript include: Oluwastoin et al., 2009 Cunningham et al., 1995 Tang NS Baker, 1998 Grimsshaw and Helfer, 1995 Allen, 1980	Corrections effected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Chamey and Oliver, 1998 Troung, 1999 Xia, 2003 List of reference at the end: only one reference is referred to inside the text which is "Channey et al., 1998". The rest of the references listed at the end of the paper were not recited inside the text. Spelling error in some place needs attention	Corrections effected Corrections effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The summary of conclusion and recommendation should be included in the Abstract	Corrections effected