



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15261
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF FACULTATIVE APOMIXIS IN FLUTED PUMPKIN (<i>TELFAIRIA OCCIDENTALIS</i> HOOK. F)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It would be advisable to give references in the Materials and Methods. Results and Discussion section is too short. It is necessary to broaden these sections, and highlight the authors' novelty in the current research, comparing and analyzing analogical studies (like literature review). If you have additional data, tables, figures, which were not included in the manuscript please add them.	The result and discussion sections have been improved and additional plates and tables have been included.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		