



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16572
Title of the Manuscript:	GIS-Based Assessment of Land Suitability for Industrial crops (cotton, sesame and groundnut) in the Abyan Delta, Yemen
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please see attached Word document using "Track Changes" to view my specific line by line comments on this manuscript. This article is very difficult to read, includes a lot of vague information in the Methods section and is not well referenced. The Methods section is extremely unorganized making it unclear how scientifically sound the research work is. Writing throughout needs major editing for clarity and conciseness. The conclusions are extremely weak. There is no mention of regions which could support additional industrial cropland. Text is continually repeated throughout.	Ok, the article revised, updated and the whole comments and suggestions have been considered. Many changes and corrections are made. In addition all the figures reproduced. So the article improved.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		