



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16761
Title of the Manuscript:	Grain health protectant activity of essential oils against infestation and damage of haricot bean by <i>Zabrotes subfasciatus</i> (Boheman)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 112: "rate" should be "extent". Also insert "damage" after seed.	Accepted and shown in the text
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 40: change "ranges" to "range" Line 95: change "was" to "were" Lines 137 – 139: The paragraph should be rearranged as follow: Results of mortality of adult.....are given in Table 2. Application of essential oil extracts.....after treatment application. Essential oil extracts of <i>C. ambrosiodes</i> after treatment application. Line 170: delete "was" Line 184: Rearrange as follows: "...since they each caused at least 93 % of mortality..." Line 188: change "have" to "has" Line 194: change "reduce" to "reduced" Line 201: replace "the fact of" to "attributed to" Line 208: change "Similar result was" to "Similar results were" Line 231 to 232: The sentence should be reworked to give full expression to the thought. The idea is that the further work should isolate and probably characterize the active compounds. However it must not be suggest that isolation will necessarily lead to enhanced effects since it has been demonstrated that some compounds are synergic in effect than when solo.	Ranges changed to range Adult can not be followed by were Line 137-139 accepted and shown in the document Was can not be deleted Line 184 rearranged as commented and shown in the document Have can not be changed to has Reduce changed to reduced The fact of is replaced by attributed to Similar results was changed to similar results were Line 231-232 though I tried to elaborate I do not accept the comment as chemical identification helps for scaling-up the results.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		