



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17125
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTATION OF SOYBEAN MEAL WITH LEUCAENA LEUCOCEPHALA LEAF MEAL ON PERFORMANCE, HAEMATOLOGY, CARCASS MEASURES AND ORGAN WEIGHT IN BROILER CHICKENS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is another manuscript of experiment conducted at the same place "University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria" which studied the effect of <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> leaf meal (LLM) on performance of broiler chickens and carcass quality. The only difference is that this manuscript (under my revision) tested the effect on haematological parameters which I think is not the target. It is strange that the author(s) didn't cite this manuscript in their references list. The link is here: http://www.pjbs.org/ijps/fin1048.pdf	The manuscript the reviewer mentioned was quite different from what we did. They used <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> leaf meal (LLM) and cassava leaf meal (CLM) at 2 levels of inclusion, the leaf treatment were different. However, the paper and other relevant manuscript have been cited in the revised version of the manuscript and highlighted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		