SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_AJEA_17524**
Title of the Manuscript:	**SELECTION CRITERIA FOR YIELD AND YIELD RELATED TRAITS IN SESAME (Sesamum indicum L.)**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I understand that the review is made in chronological order, but in that way It became repetitive. The review should include more references from Venezuela. This country has made a huge contribution on sesame technology, especially in plant breeding area, and also preserves an important germplasm collection. This studies can be found in prestigious journals. Conclusions of the review should be exposed in greater details, and each separated in paragraphs. Others comments are found in the pdf file	More recent references have been added. Conclusion of review has also improved. All corrections mentioned in pdf have been corrected.
Optional/General comments		