SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_AJEA_17524**
Title of the Manuscript:	**SELECTION CRITERIA FOR YIELD AND YIELD RELATED TRAITS IN SESAME (Sesamum indicum L.)**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Abstract not formatted properly according to guidelines of journal Sentence structure is still choppy and sometime does not read very well. For example, bottom of pg 1, you use the term 'sound suited'. What does that mean? Do you mean 'well suited'? Instead of using '-' when discussing ranges use words such as 'to', etc. Some words are capitilized in the middle of the sentence. For example on page 15, 'number' is in caps several times. The authors need to do a better job of proofing the paper. The authors really made very few revisions to the manuscript and definitely did not proof their paper very well. I still do not feel that this paper is anything but a literature review of sesame breeding results that have basically been put together by using the abstracts from selected papers. Author's comments are very generic and do not address specific points.	Paper is revised accordingly