



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17833
Title of the Manuscript:	GENE PYRAMIDING IMPROVED RESISTANCE TO ANGULAR LEAF SPOT IN COMMON BEAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is a good paper, concise and well organised. The following are the minor revision comments: 1. Add the following statement in the Conclusion of the Abstract: "Future studies needs to be conducted to determine how broad and effective combined resistances in these newly developed lines have against the variability of the ALS pathogen sampled in Uganda." 2. Keywords: Do not repeat words of title 3. Referencing in the text follow this style: [5, 8, 9] not [8];[9];[5]. Follow this style wherever necessary in the whole manuscript. i.e. Lines 20, 30, 35, 75. 4. Delete the words "(1957)" on lines 161 and 163 5. Replace "$(P \geq .05)$" with "$(P > .05)$" on lines 196, 198, 200, 224.	1. The statement in conclusion earmarked by the reviewer for transfer to the abstract has been effected 2. Key words that appear in the title have been deleted 3. References have been put right 4. The words (1957) have been deleted 5. $(P \geq .05)$ has been replaced with $(P > .05)$
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		