

Editorial Comment:

I have some remarks on the manuscript such as:

1. The letters in Table 1 indicating significant differences should be changed into superscript letters, in order to follow other tables' format.
2. I just looked at the method described for measuring proanthocyanidins (according to Naczek et al. [27].) but the method described by Naczek et al. is not the one described here, so correction is needed.

Author Feedback:

We want to thank Editor for comments.

1. All tables were checked and superscript letters were used (in Table 2).
2. For extraction of condensed tannins Naczek et al. [27] method was used with only some modification: concentration of acetone solution (80% v/v), time of extraction (30 min). The other parameters of tannins extraction and measurement were preserved. Moreover, the authors express content of condensed tannins as absorbance per gram of analyzed material. We calculated results as μg of D-catechin equivalent per gram of a dry matter. This was done in order to be able to compare contents of all groups of compounds.

The text of our article includes what changes have been made during each process. So as not to mislead the reader, the phrase "according to" was changed to "based on" (cited method).

We realize that due to material of the research (canola hulls) Naczek et al. (1994) method may seem wrong for wheat but the same assay (with modifications) was further successfully adopted by other authors for cereals. In our opinion cited work is an universal source of complete and well described method of proanthocyanidins content determination for plant material regardless of origin.