



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_19164
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH RESPONSE OF MAIZE (ZEA MAYS L.) TO DIFFERENT RATES OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND FARM YARD MANURE IN MOROGORO URBAN DISTRICT, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract- The experiment is 3x3 factorial fitted into completely randomized design (CRD) Materials and Methods- All the sub headings should be removed and merge under the heading material and methods Results and discussion- The sub- heading should be removed and merge under results and discussion. The discussion is too shallow , the author should discuss extensively with current literature as back up Tables-The grid lines and S/No rows should be removed. Textural class – Change LS to loamy soil. PH (KCl) not KCL No legend to indicate the meaning of * in the tables	This was corrected as suggested All the sub headings were removed and merged under the heading material and methods All the sub headings were removed and merged under the heading results and discussion. The discussion was expanded as per suggested These were effectively done The meaning of * was put in the legend
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		