



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_19234
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Some Promising Insecticides for Managing Eggplant Shoot and Fruit Borer in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In Materials and methods, the author did not mention the plot size, date of first spray and last spray, technique and material used for spraying, et. In addition, the author did not mention general crop management operations (like plant spacing, weeding, fertilizers types and rates, etc.) which may have effect of efficacy of the pesticides. The author should give enough information on the chemicals used in this experiment (mode of action, etc..) Was this experiment conducted in greenhouse or in open field conditions? How many number of plants per plot?; observation were recorded on how many plants within a treatment? Why the author started recording all data at harvesting stage??? (some data like number of shoots affected by ESFB would have been recorded since earlier). In results part, it is clear that the performing treatment was T2, but the treatment recommended to farmers is T1; what are the reasons?	M&M added this topic in revised manuscript Added in revised manuscript Submitted Added Data not taken only at harvest time. It started when plants were transplanted in main field and after observing 5% shoot infestation than insecticide was first sprayed. T2 perform better but it is first time recorded that T2 is effective against ESFB(lack of references). But, in revised manuscript we suggest the farmer both T1 and T2 in shoot and fruit infestation time respectively. But we think T2 may tested solely against ESFB in different location.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Discussion part needs to be improved; more discussion is needed. References are not well cited; and are not arranged in alphabetical order.	Added more discussion in the manuscript I think reference were arranged in correct order numberly not alphabetically according to AJEA's reference style
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		