



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_19395
Title of the Manuscript:	Managing Sclerotinia Blight in Peanut: Evaluation of a Weather-based Forecasting Model to Time Fungicide Applications in Texas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		Addressing of comments line by line is listed below
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Do not underline headings and subheadings. 2. Line 224 – et al. should be written in <i>italics</i>. 3. It has been observed that no Discussion section. The results should be properly discussed supporting your findings with relevant previous literature. 4. The conclusion should be brief and precise.	1. Underlining of headings and subheadings was removed 2. et al. was italicized on line 224 and throughout the manuscript 3. Specific points were made in each of the three years to provide some level of discussion. 4. The conclusion was not adjusted as the information addressed the discussion points to some degree.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		