

Line by line edits

Abstract:

1. Replaced aims with aim as there was a single objective to the study presented herein
 2. Added capital d in Study Design heading. Following final editing from authors.
 3. Included a hard return between the Study Design and Place and Duration of Study sections. Following authors final editing
 4. Indicated that treatments were evaluated to clear up any grammatical issues per the reviewer comment
 5. Indicated that cultivars Flavor Runner 458, Tamrun OL 02 and/or Tamrun OL07 were utilized in this study and that threshold treatments were compared to the proper controls to fix grammatical error
 6. replaced DAP with days after planting per the request of one of the reviewers
- Line 21: italicized et al per reviewer suggestion
- Line 41: italicized et al per reviewer suggestion
- Line 44: changed grammatical error epidemics, caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*... as suggested by reviewer
- Line 55: deleted underline of sub-heading as suggested by reviewer
- Line 77: replaced including with included for better grammar
- Line 83: italicized et al per reviewer suggestion
- Line 94: deleted underline of sub-heading as suggested by reviewer
- Line 101: changed grammatical error deleted duplicate each trial, suggested by reviewer
- Line 111: changed grammatical error moved citation to end of sentence as suggested by reviewer
- Line 119: deleted underline of sub-heading as suggested by reviewer
- Line 126: added approximately to describe when row closure was observed
- Line 131: Replaced the semicolon with a comma
- Line 135: Called out figure 1 for clarification and strengthen the discussion
- Lines 138-143: included discussion point as suggested by reviewer
- Line 155: replaced were with are
- Line 158: deleted three in two of three trials (grammar)
- Line 167: replaced locations with trials for consistency
- Lines 173-176: included discussion point as suggested by reviewer

Line 180: indicated that differences in grades between cultivars supports previous work as a discussion and validation point
Line 184: deleted underline of sub-heading as suggested by reviewer
Line 204: reworded grammatical error, as suggested by reviewer
Lines 208-212: included discussion point as suggested by reviewer
Line 223: deleted underline of sub-heading as suggested by reviewer
Lines 257-259: included discussion point as suggested by reviewer
Line 262: reference was made to 15,18,and 19
Line 264: pluralized conclusion to justify increased length of conclusions
Line 272: italicized et al per reviewer suggestion
Lines 273-276: fleshed out statement about field history and agronomic practices (to fix grammatical error)
Line 280: replaced this region with Southwestern United States to
Line 284: replaced made with applied (grammatical error)
Line 291: replaced are with were (grammar)
Line 300: replaced later in the day with evening
Line 306: removed statement from parenthesis and placed following a comma

Table 4. P-value was corrected to .05 was

References:

#'s 1-3,5,6,9,10,12-20,22,24 and 25 added the issue number per the author guidelines?
#8 changed the formatting of articles In Press per author guidelines
#'s 9,22,23 truncated author listing to five and included et al per author guidelines.
#'s 17 and 18 corrected issue with page numbers. Following final editing by author
#30 added as a result of including a discussion point in the text