



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_19405
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCT QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF CLARIAS GARIEPINUS FED DIETARY LEVELS OF AZADIRACHTA INDICA LEAF MEAL.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION: There is need for the author(s) to justify the need for the use of AILM in the diets of <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>. Especially as it regard to the economic and consumer's acceptability of the fish. AILM nutritional potentials or otherwise as available in literature should be included. Also there is no connection between the statement made in lines 37 (Observation..... to 41, and 41 <i>Clarias</i>..... 44). It is better that these statements should be re-written for better understanding of the information the author is conveying. State the number of fish used biweekly for proximate analysis and the number used for organoleptic assessment at the end of the experiment. List the 13 organoleptics characteristics Table 2: AILM chemical composition was it done once or more? . The result should so indicate. Tables 2 -4 did not include means, standard error or standard deviation? DISCUSSION: Provide reason (s) for the high mortality observed with the leaf meal, particularly with the high nutrients composition of fish fed these diets. Which type of essential nutrients does the leaf meal contain?. Any literature to support?	This on introduction is noted and has been revised. 3per treatment biweekly for proximate and 3 per treatment at the end of the experiment for organoleptic. Thirteen (13) characteristics listed, see manuscript. AILM proximate was once. Tables updated – see manuscript. Possible reasons have been stated, see revised manuscript. All, with very high level of Ca and low P Gowda et al, 2000; Heuze <i>et al</i>, 2015 etc.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Title of Table 1 to change to : Percentage composition of experimental diets or Percentage composition of Azadirachta indica leaf meal substituted diets. How often did you do the water physico- chemical analyses Might be necessary to state the organoleptics characteristics that were not affected by the use of the leaf meal.	Effected Regularly. None was majorly noticed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		