SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_19988**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF HOE-WEEDING AND PENDIMETHALIN SPRAY REGIMES ON WEED MANAGEMENT IN COWPEA (VIGNA UNGUICULATA (L) WALP.) IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**No**	The word 'SPRAY' is more appropriate than 'SPRAYING' pointed out by the reviewer. I prefer to retain the title.
Minor REVISION comments	The level of probability was not stated in the material and methods	It has been stated
Optional/General comments	The author undertook knowledgeable research work	