



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20041
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF RURAL INFRASTRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS IN ONDO STATE: CASE STUDY OF ONDO STATE COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Type of the Article	Original research based

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No major revision is needed. I recommend that the specific comments below need to be addressed one by one as in total they constitute major impact in the value of this manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	SPECIFIC COMMENTS 6. "bottom-up approach" not bottom approach 9. from existing 10 LGAs not "Local government areas" 11. sentence to end at "..... Projects. Ten" 28 "respective" urban people. 30 remove "very" as it is not measurable. Remove very at the end of 30 35 approach to start with capital letter "A" 47-51 too long a sentence. Needs to be broken into two 63 Recast the statement to begin with "In 2009" 102 "Semi-structured" to replace "well structured" 104 remove "(questionnaire)" 104 "personal contact with respondent" be replaced with "interview" 105 "Descriptive" to start with small letter "d" and Inferential to start with small letter "i" 106 "Percentage" to start with small "p" 122 discussion to start with "D" 124 "effective" be replaced by "expected" 132 sentence should end up with period after "participation". 136 education is more of a factor for effective engagement than for mere appreciating importance of participating in projects. An argument towards this	Thank you for your comments. All the necessary corrections have been effected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	direction would be expected. 139 some statistics or literature citation is needed to support that in urban areas marriages are not preferred. 146 Age "Group" be added 157 marital status be arranged logically not based on statistics, i.e. begin with "single", "married", "separated", "divorced" "widowed" This is the acceptable presentation in demographics 161 "critical" should read "critically" 169 the "table reflect" be replaced "data" 178 Sum of weight to be "Sum of Weight" 201 55.6% of the respondents....." 206 " of the respondents" 226 Assume Control be "assume control" 231 Continue to be in the same paragraph with 230 233 new paragraph 266 conclusions are drawn from "data" not table 292 Table shows "data" on..... not ".... results from...." 308, 311 and 313 be in separate paragraphs, not numbered.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A well-argued paper with acceptable methodology. It is worth being published. It contributes to knowledge on rural development initiatives. However, some sentences are too long to make the reader get bored to follow.	Thank you.