



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20078
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield Components of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> L.) and their Interrelationships with Root Yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<u>Abstract</u> – under methodology, add the word “a” before randomized complete... <u>Introduction</u> – lines 25-27 need a reference Line 37, references could be cited as 7-10 instead of a long list. <u>Materials and Methods</u> – line 76, explain faulty stands <u>Results and Discussion</u> – line 213, please correct the sweet potato is not a tuber, it is a root.	Agreed and correction is effected in the text. O.K. Reference included in the text. O. K. Observation noted and is duly corrected. This is explained as “un-established stands” This is noted and corrected in the text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well written.	This is acknowledged.