



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20209
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF SPIDER PLANT (Cleome gynandra L.) GERMPLASM FOR AGRONOMIC TRAITS IN VEGETABLE AND SEED PRODUCTION - A GREEN HOUSE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<i>A well conducted study with high practical relevance that may give useful contribution to science</i> <i>1. There are a lot of grammatical errors, unsuitable expressions that need to be corrected</i> <i>2. It is important to give brief varietal characteristics of the collected genotypes that will basis for comparison after subjecting them to the experimental conditions)</i>	The grammatical errors and unsuitable expressions have been corrected following the recommendations by the other two reviewers and reading through the manuscripts toughly. A brief on the varietal characteristics of the genotypes is not possible because the genotypes were obtained as seed from either the Gene bank or farmers' fields with no documented characteristics.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		