



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20298
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical Efficiency of Chilli Pepper Production in Kaduna State, Nigeria: A Stochastic Production Frontier Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 263 Generally, we use *, ** and *** to indicate the significance at 5%, 1% and 1‰ level. The income from pepper trade should an important factor affecting the technical efficiency of farmers, so the author should consider to take the pepper price as a technical efficiency determinant.	The cited correction has been adequately effected.