



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20304
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF LEAD (Pb) FLUX, DISTANCE OF TRAVEL AND BREAK THROUGH TIME USING CONVECTIVE FLUX EQUATIONS: A CASE STUDY OF FARMLANDS AROUND LEAD (Pb) CONTAMINATED GOLDMINE IN ZAMFARA NORTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title is too long. The figures are of poor quality. Please improve it. The discussion is too short.	I will agree you with the title being too long but it will have been better if you have suggested ways of making it shorter. Because to my understanding and little knowledge of report writing, the topic should as much as possible capture your subject matter. For the figures I think they are good enough because they pass the intended message. The discussion as per case study is well enough. I don't know if you have something specific in mind that you think is missing then you can point it out
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		