



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20583
Title of the Manuscript:	Disease severity on cabbage protected from arthropod pests with insecticide treated Agronets in Kenya
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 94: Need to complete the sentence for clarity as it reads 'watering wa' Consider changing the sentence presented in line 178 to read 'Damping off disease in field cabbage at Thika was observed across treatments the 1st week after transplanting. The reason given in line 213,214 and 215 need to be revisited as an attempt to clarify the role of Agronets in reducing damping off. The aspect of high amount of pesticides in protection of cabbages against arthropod pests might be true but it was not part of study. Link this fact with results of research.	Corrections effected in the manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Reconsider the last recommendation to make sure it is in line with the current study	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		