



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20799
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultivars Response to Morphological and Yield Attributes of Okra at Sylhet Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Abstract is not written according to the standards of the journal (items: aims, study design, place and duration of the study, methodology, results and conclusion). However, the abstract is clear and precise. Introduction. OK. I think that Introduction is understandable, clear and comprehensive exposing the reader to the topic. Purpose and objectives are scientifically appropriate. Material and Methods. OK. Very complete writing. The methodology used is correctly described. So described it allow experiment to be reproduced. I suggest adding the historical data of rainfall and average temperatures of the experimental region when mention is made that this region is characterized by heavy rainfall and high temperatures. I suggest adding some data, for example, that rules were taken into account to calculate the germination. The authors took into account normal and abnormal seedlings? Results and Discussion: OK. I think the experimental results are thoroughly and logically interpreted in the discussion and presented with clarity. Author compared the results obtained with the experiments and results of previous studies on the relevant subject. But, for some	Corrected and Improved as much as possible, as for example data of rainfall and temperature has been added. The instructions has been followed



SDI Review Form 1.6

	variables analyzed, I think that should be discussed over the found result. The authors compare with published data, but should explain some differences between the data obtained for different cultivars (for example, % Germination). In all tables, the author must indicate the meaning of the statistically letters. Conclusion. OK	
Minor REVISION comments	In the Bibliography you must cite according to the "<i>Rules of Writing Magazine</i>". For example: There should not be point between the abbreviations of the author names.	Done as it was suggested
Optional/General comments	This paper reports many interesting observations. <i>Some corrections should be done on the content and form before its publication.</i>	