



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_21477
Title of the Manuscript:	Photosynthetic Efficiency and Antioxidant Activity of Cotton under Drought Stress during Early Floral Bud Development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The present manuscript is very good article is written with good English language. Abstract: is adequate and is clear. Also the introduction section includes more recent literatures support the objective of the present study. One statement only in line 77-78 mentioned inside that section refer to : there is very little information on the physiological responses of cotton plants that exposed to water stress during the early squaring stage. I would like mention there are more literature on the effect of water stress during the period of early floral bud-flowering and boll formation stages. Personally I work on Egyptian cotton plants (<i>Gossypium barbadense</i>) on water stress. Materials and Methods: is good written and include all methods used with its references. Results and Discussion: are very good written and indicated the all obtained data in more clarity with suitable tables and Figs Literature cited: are recent and adequate	Dear Reviewer, Thank you very much for your comments. They are much appreciated. Regarding the sentence in the introduction section (lines 76-77), we agree with your comment and I have made the necessary change to adjust the sentence.