



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6207
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH RESPONSE AND NUTRIENT UTILIZATION OF <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> JUVENILES FED DIFFERENTLY PROCESSED SUNFLOWER (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L) SEED MEAL-BASED DIETS.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In general the manuscript is interesting, however , the writing must be improved for final acceptance	The manuscript has been revised based on the reviewer comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some grammatical mistakes and a little confuse writing were found through the text: For instance in the abstract row 19: Each treatment was that was done Some keywords (Performance, Water quality, Processed, Minerals) are not informative enough. Row 39: the species is not farmed elsewhere (not in Mexico for instance) Some other mistakes or confuse terms and writing are marked in the text	All these observations have been effected in the text. Yellow letter in the text means 'addition or replacement' of the reviewer comments.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		