



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6207
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH RESPONSE AND NUTRIENT UTILIZATION OF Clarias gariepinus JUVENILES FED DIFFERENTLY PROCESSED SUNFLOWER (Helianthus annuus L) SEED MEAL-BASED DIETS.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Abstract/keywords: Line 16: Remove mineral composition and insert “ processing methods after sunflower. Line 77: Feeding time must be specified as a factor to justify feed intake or otherwise . Do you feed fish at any time of the day ? Line 121: You reported that Chromium oxide is to evaluate feed utilisation ! How do you justify this in the study ? Line 382 : My observation/comment yet addressed ! Line 439 : Is NPU same as apparent digestibility ? Differentiate clearly if any and relate it to this study.	Observation effected in line 16 Observation effected in yellow letter in line 67 - 68 Observation effected, see line 73 – 79 and table 5 and discussion in line 452 - 461 The observation have been addressed, table 2 (line 200) shows the effect of the different processing when compared with the raw sunflower and the result was discussed in line 380 -398 NPU is not same as apparent digestibility. This observation/ comment has been effected in line 425 - 429