



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6207
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH RESPONSE AND NUTRIENT UTILIZATION OF Clarias gariepinus JUVENILES FED DIFFERENTLY PROCESSED SUNFLOWER (Helianthus annuus L) SEED MEAL-BASED DIETS.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The manuscript is fine now. I would suggest only a few things for the authors consider in the form and presentation of the manuscript. In the introduction i still think that an historical with when and why about the use of the sunflower in aquaculture. In methods I still believe that the sex is important, if you did not consider this variable, at least say in the text that differences among sexes were not considered. In the results section the topics are unnecessary, consider removing them and leave the text only.	The comment has been effected in line 40 -44 and 48 - 50 The reviewer comment has been effected in line 468- 469 To my best of knowledge I believe that when result is presented without brief discussion there is no need to have headings/topic but, in the case where you have result with brief discussion it is necessary to have the headings/ topic. Sir, I feel that we should sustain the headings in the result so as to bring out the beauty of the work.