

Original Research Article

GROWTH RESPONSE OF MAIZE (ZEA MAYS L.) TO DIFFERENT RATES OF

NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND FARM YARD MANURE IN MOROGORO URBAN

ABSTRACT

Pot experiments were carried out in the Teaching and Research Farm and in the green house of Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro Tanzania in 2014 and 2015 wet seasons to determine the effects of different levels of organic and inorganic fertilizers on maize. The treatments used consist of three levels of nitrogen (0.75 and 150 Kg Nha⁻¹), three levels of phosphorus (0, 40 and 80 Kg Pha⁻¹) and three levels of Farm Yard Manure (0, 5 and 10 t FYM ha⁻¹). These were factorially combined and arranged in a randomized complete design (RCD) with three replicates. Parameters determined includes plant height, number of leaves and stem girth. Results obtained showed that maximum plant height (66.75 and 131.7cm), number of leaves (10.50) and stem girth (4.90 and 5.85 cm) were achieved with application of 150 kg Nha⁻¹ + 80 kg Pha⁻¹ + 10 t FYMha⁻¹. The effects of treatments on parameters determined were significant (P≤0.05) in both years. However, application of fertilizers had no significant effect on number of leaves at first and second weeks after sowing. Results obtained in 2015 were better than 2014, which could be due to seasonal variation in the weather conditions and soils nutrient contents. The study suggests that, the study soils should be amended with rates of combined organic and inorganic fertilizers for superior maize growth performance.

Keyword: Organic and inorganic fertilizers, Maize. Plant height, leaves, screen house

INTRODUCTION

Maize is grown widely in many countries of the world, Tanzania inclusive. In Tanzania over two million hectares of maize are planted per year with average yields of between 1.2 – 1.6 tonnes per hectare. The crop accounts for 31 percent of the total food production and constitutes more than 75 percent of the cereals consumption in the country [1]. However with continuous cultivation most of the other essential plant nutrients which were previously adequate have declined to deficient levels, hence the need to apply them in the form of fertilizers or other soil

amendments. The three nutrients N, P and K are often the most limiting in many soils, in the order $N > P > K$ [2].

Maize requires adequate supply of nutrients particularly nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium for good growth and high yield. Nitrogen and phosphorus are very essential for good vegetative growth and grain development in maize production. The quantity required of these nutrients particularly nitrogen depends on the pre-cleaning vegetation, organic matter content, tillage methods and light intensity [3]. Nitrogen is a vital plant nutrient and a major yield determining factor required for maize production [4,5], it is very essential nutrient required to increase maize yield consequently, and the lack of phosphorus is an important as the lack of nitrogen in limiting maize performance. Nitrogen and phosphorous are highly limiting nutrients to support good crop growth and development [6] due to the practice of multiple and continues cropping systems using low fertilizer [7]. Regular application of organic manure not only supply all the various macro and micro-nutrients, although in small quantities, but also improves soil physical and biological properties [8, 9].

Many studies have reported that the positive effect of both organic and inorganic fertilizers as well as their combinations, in supporting higher growth of maize [10, 11, 12]. The use of inorganic fertilizers has not been helpful as it is associated with increased soil acidity and nutrient imbalance [13]. On the other hand, organic fertilizer is slow releasing and could hardly supply the quantity needed by crops [14]. However combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers offers the best advantage because apart from enhancing soil fertility it improves soil physical properties [15, 16]. This study was undertaking with a view to determine the growth response of maize to application of different levels of organic and inorganic fertilizers combinations.

56 MATERIALS AND METHODS

57 Experimental site

58 Greenhouse experiments were conducted during the long rain season of 2014 and 2015 at the
 59 Teaching and Research farm and glass house of the Department of Soil Science at Faculty of
 60 Agriculture of the Sokoine University of Agriculture, in the agro-ecological zone 2 of the
 61 country at 200 km west of Dar-Es-Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania. The area lies between latitude
 62 $5^{\circ} 58''$ and $10^{\circ} 0''$ of the south of the Equator and longitude $35^{\circ} 25''$ and $35^{\circ} 30''$ to the East. The
 63 area has a sub – humid tropical type of climate with a bi-modal rainfall distribution. The average
 64 total annual precipitation is about 895 mm per year with a mean monthly temperature of about
 65 24.0°C .

66 Collection and preparation of soil FYM samples

67 Bulk surface soil samples were collected from SMC campus, Sokoine University of
 68 Agriculture farm at the depth of 0 – 30 cm, while FYM samples were collected from the dairy
 69 house of the farm. The soil samples were thoroughly mixed and sub-divided to obtain a
 70 composite sample. The soil samples were air-dried, ground and sieved to pass through 6mm
 71 diameter screen for green house experiment and 2mm diameter screen for subsamples which was
 72 taken for laboratory analysis of selected physical and chemical properties. The FYM used for the
 73 study was air-dried, ground, sieved and also analyzed for some chemical properties.

74

75 Physico-chemical analysis of soil and FYM

76 The soil samples were analyzed for particle size distribution using the hydrometer method [17]
 77 while soil pH (H_2O and 0.1 M KCl) in a 1:2.5 soil/solution ratio using the glass electrode of a pH

meter. Total N by the Kjeldhal method and phosphorus by Bray I method, while organic carbon (OC) was determined by the dichromate wet oxidation method. Ammonium acetate was used for determination of exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K and Na). Organic matter content was obtained by multiplying organic carbon values by a factor of 1.724 [18]. The method of [19] was used for these entire analyses. The sample of FYM was analyzed for N and P content. Total N was determined by the regular macro-Kjeldahl procedure. Available P was determined by Bray P – I method.

Experimental design and treatments

The treatments consisted of three rates of organic manure (FYM: 0,5 and 10 t ha⁻¹), three rates of nitrogen (0, 75 and 1500 Kg Nha⁻¹) and three rates of phosphorus (0, 40 and 80 kg Pha⁻¹). The experiment consisted of 27 treatments combinations of organic and inorganic fertilizers source were laid out in a completely randomized design (CRD) with three replicates.

Greenhouse Soil culture experiment

A pot experiment was carried out using the bulk composite soil samples that were taken from the site. Before sowing 4 kg of the processed soil were thoroughly mixed with the N,P, and FYM weighed portions, except the control pots and assigned to 5 L plastic pots (according to treatments) perforated at the bottom for drainage outlets. A total of 81 green house plastic pots were used. Tap water was the added to each pot to 70 % of the soil's water holding capacity to bring them to field capacity. The pots were left in the screen house for a period of 10 days to stabilize and mineralization of organic amendments (Kraal) to begin.

101 **Planting and agronomic practices**

102 After ten days, 4 maize (*Zea mays*) seeds of Var. Tanzanian maize variety (TMV-1) were sown
 103 per pot and later the seedlings were thinned to 2 per pot at two weeks after sowing. Fertilizer
 104 application of P and N was done at planting followed by a split dosage of N at 2 WAP. Weeding
 105 was carried out regularly by hand picking. Visual observation was used to identify any abnormal
 106 symptoms. The pots were maintained close to field capacity throughout the experiment. There
 107 were two experiments. In both experiments the plants were harvested at the end of five (5) weeks

108

109 **Data collection and harvesting**

110 The growth parameters measured included plant height, number of leaves and stem girth. The
 111 plant heights were measured by using meter ruler, while leaves number by counting and stem
 112 girth by using rope and 30 cm ruler. The maize growth data were collected at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5
 113 weeks after sowing (WAS). The experiments were repeated and all protocol was the same.

114

115 **Statistical analyses**

116 The data collected were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were
 117 separated using the least significant differences (LSD) at 5% level of probability with the [20]
 118 software package.

119

120 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

121 **Soil physico – chemical properties**

122 The results of the soil analysis (before sowing) used for the 2 year trials are shown in table 1.
 123 The textural class of the soils for both years was loamy sandy with pH_{water} 7.08 and 6.96 which
 124 indicate mildly alkaline to slightly acidic in reaction. Organic carbon contents were 0.21 and

0.33 %, while total N contents were 0.04 and 0.05 % in 2014 and 2015 respectively. The concentrations of exchangeable bases in the soil were higher in 2015 than 2014, while micronutrients Cu, Zn and Mu were higher in 2014 than 2015 and Available phosphorus was higher in 2015 soil. Soil analysis before sowing indicated that the major nutrients (N, P) were found at low levels. The values of Soil chemical properties were low indicating that the soils were low in fertility. Therefore, there is need to apply fertilizers to the soil in order to boost their productivity.

Effects of treatments on plant height

There is a corresponding increase in the growth of maize as the rate of fertilizers increases. Maize heights ranged from 8.58 cm to 67.00 cm and 9.2 cm to 115.4 cm in 2014 and 2015 (Table 2). In both years, Fertilizers application did not affect plant height at 1WAS and 2WAS except in T₂₆ (N₁₅₀ P₈₀ FYM₅) in 2014.

Table 1: physic-chemical properties of the soils used before sowing

Soil Properties	Measured Value	
	2014	2015
Clay (%)	12.12	14.12
Silt (%)	3.64	3.68
Sand (%)	84.24	80.24
Text. Class	LS	LS
pH (H ₂ O)	7.08	6.96
pH (KCL)	5.68	5.16
Org.C (%)	0.21	0.33
Total N (%)	0.04	0.05
Avail. P.mg kg ⁻¹	6.68	10.86
CEC cmol kg ⁻¹	10.20	12.40
Ex.Ca cmo kg ⁻¹	0.37	0.37
Ex. Mg cmol kg ⁻¹	0.80	0.82
Ex. K cmol kg ⁻¹	0.33	0.74
Ex. Na cmol kg ⁻¹	0.20	0.32
BS (%)	16.68	18.15
Ex. Cu mg kg ⁻¹	0.28	0.25

Ex. Zn mg kg ⁻¹	0.19	0.12
Ex. Fe mg kg ⁻¹	21.20	33.77
Ex. Mn mg kg ⁻¹	31.50	27.50
EC dS/m	0.02	0.02

Plant height was highly influenced by the application of organic and inorganic fertilizers in both years at 3WAS and 4WAS. In the 2014 trial, tallest plant were observed in pot treated with full FYM and N rates (67.00 cm) and the control pot gave the least (38.58 cm), while in 2015 of 35 days, pots amended with highest FYM, N and P rates gave the higher plant heights (115.4 cm) and the control produced the least plant height of 56.7 cm respectively.

Effects of treatment on Number of leaves

The results presented in Table 3 showing the trend observed in the number of leaves produced by plants at week's stages of growth. A similar to the above trend was observed for the number of maize leaves where the application of fertilizers rates did significantly ($P<0.05$) affect leaves number of maize per plant except at 3WAS and 4WAS in 2014.

Table 2: Treatments effect on Maize plant height at weeks stages of growth in 2014 and 2015

Treatments	2014				2015			
	Weeks after sowing				Weeks after sowing			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₀	8.50	35.47	35.50	40.25	16.9	40.9	51.4	56.7
N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₅	10.17	43.67	43.25	49.25*	19.5	46.2	60.2	70.8
N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	9.35	41.57	44.50	49.75*	17.9	43.6	60.1	66.7
N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	8.97	29.92	45.75*	45.00	20.7	56.0	79.0	90.2
N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	7.25	41.50	40.75	44.75	25.7	48.3	69.8	84.0
N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	8.40	43.60	45.50	56.25*	24.7	47.6	72.3	86.2
N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	9.56	41.77	46.50*	47.50	26.5	52.9	73.8	87.8
N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	10.00	45.95	47.70*	56.26*	24.7	59.0	77.5	99.0
N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	9.15	44.20	44.50	56.26*	12.9	38.1	61.1	84.3
N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₀	8.50	43.00	38.75	45.00	21.5	44.3	50.7	50.9
N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₅	9.00	41.57	46.50*	66.00*	26.9	54.1	78.4	97.8
N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	9.62	45.55	47.25*	66.50*	24.8	54.8	67.9	102.3
N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	10.75	36.62	41.50	49.25*	20.7	53.2	78.6	102.5
N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	7.87	44.20	48.00*	61.50*	25.2	62.3	84.4	102.4
N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	8.92	41.12	39.50	61.75*	14.8	46.9	66.6	86.5

N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	8.10	40.67	39.50	56.75*	14.6	47.6	79.1	112.6
N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	11.25	44.95	47.25*	66.25	26.4	56.5	81.3	110.3
N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	12.05	46.96	47.75*	63.25*	9.2	38.6	73.1	95.6
N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₀	9.22	39.55	32.00	43.75	18.5	42.2	56.7	65.0
N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₅	10.25	43.77	44.00	62.25*	25.3	62.7	85.2	104.1
N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	8.82	38.80	45.25	63.75*	27.0	57.0	86.1	115.0
N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	10.67	46.37	46.75*	66.00*	12.9	43.1	62.6	88.8
N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	11.00	43.37	46.75*	62.00*	16.1	39.8	66.4	89.7
N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	9.87	41.42	48.25*	67.00*	13.8	42.8	70.5	94.0
N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	12.37	48.25	51.79*	68.50*	29.1	52.0	81.5	101.4
N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	10.62	50.12*	51.75*	66.00*	11.8	45.6	76.3	102.6
N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	11.42	46.67	51.50*	66.75*	20.4	61.3	86.6	115.4
LSD (0.05)	4.537	13.516	9.932	8.391	21.37	26.89	27.61	22.67
CV (%)	22.80	15.40	10.70	7.20	51.5	26.5	18.7	12.1

It was observed that at 1WAS and 2WAS apart from control, pots with different fertilizers had similar number of leaves compared with those that received higher fertilizers doses. Therefore all treatments had similar number of leaves except control, which recorded the least value. (Table3). Application of full FYM, N and P rates (N₁₅₀P₈₀FYM₁₀) in treatment 27 produced statistically the highest mean number of leaves per plant, this was followed by T25 (N₁₅₀P₈₀FYM₀) while the control had the lowest in all the 3rd, 4th and 5th weeks after sowing.

Table 3: Maize leaves number at weeks of growth in response to amendments in 2015 screen house experiment

S/N0	Treatments	Weeks after sowing			
		1	2	3	4
1	N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₀	3.00	5.00	5.50	6.50
2	N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₅	4.25	5.75	6.50	7.75
3	N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	3.50	5.00	6.00	7.00
4	N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	3.50	6.25	7.25	7.50
5	N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	3.00	5.00	6.50	8.00
6	N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	3.00	5.25	6.75	7.50
7	N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	3.50	5.50	7.00	8.00
8	N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	4.00	6.00	7.75	8.25
9	N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	2.00	4.75	6.50	7.75
10	N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₀	3.50	5.50	6.50	6.75
11	N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₅	3.75	6.75	7.50	8.00
12	N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	4.00	5.50	7.75	8.75
13	N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	3.50	6.00	8.50	9.00
14	N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	3.75	5.50	7.25	8.25
15	N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	1.75	5.00	6.75	9.25

16	N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	2.00	5.75	7.25	9.50
17	N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	3.75	5.50	7.25	9.00
18	N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	1.50	4.50	7.00	9.00
19	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₀	3.00	5.00	5.75	7.00
20	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₅	3.75	5.00	8.25	8.50
21	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	3.50	5.75	8.00	9.25
22	N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	1.50	4.00	6.75	8.25
23	N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	1.50	4.75	6.75	9.25
24	N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	2.00	4.50	7.00	8.25
25	N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	4.00	5.00	8.50	9.50
26	N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	1.75	5.00	8.00	9.75
27	N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	3.75	6.00	8.75	9.75
LSD (0.05)		2.752	2.019	2.161	2.300
CV (%)		44.1	18.5	14.6	13.6

Effects of treatment on stem girth

Table 4 shows the effect of the different rates of organic and inorganic fertilizers on stem girth of maize. Treatment T₂₇ (N₁₅₀ P₈₀ FYM₁₀) recorded higher stem girth values in both years at 5WAS, this was followed by T₂₆ (N₁₅₀ P₈₀ FYM₅) while control recorded the least stem girth value in all experiments. In both years most of the treatments produced similar stem girth values.

Table 4: Maize plant girth at the end of the green house experiments as affected by organic and inorganic fertilizers in 2014 and 2015

S/N	Treatments	2014	2015
		Stem girth (cm) Pot ⁻¹	Stem girth (cm) Pot ⁻¹
1	N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₀	2.10	2.65
2	N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₅	3.30	3.05
3	N ₀ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	3.23	2.90
4	N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	2.55	3.60
5	N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	2.96	3.60
6	N ₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	3.23	3.95
7	N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	2.85	3.85
8	N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	2.77	3.85
9	N ₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	3.10	3.85
10	N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₀	2.18	2.60
11	N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₅	3.93*	3.90
12	N ₇₅ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	4.23*	3.90
13	N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	3.15	3.90
14	N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	3.75	4.00
15	N ₇₅ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	3.90*	3.95

16	N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	3.85	4.20
17	N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	4.13*	4.05
18	N ₇₅ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	4.58*	4.45
19	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₀	2.20	2.80
20	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₅	4.53*	4.10
21	N ₁₅₀ P ₀ FYM ₁₀	4.73*	4.45
22	N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₀	4.43*	4.10
23	N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₅	4.40*	4.55
24	N ₁₅₀ P ₄₀ FYM ₁₀	4.45*	4.75
25	N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₀	4.80*	4.75
26	N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₅	4.60*	4.30
27	N ₁₅₀ P ₈₀ FYM ₁₀	4.90*	5.85
LSD (0.05)		0.804	0.8013
CV (%)		10.70	10.00

179
180

181 Application of organic and inorganic fertilizers at higher doses produced significantly larger
182 stem girth values compared with the control. Stem girth differed significantly (P = 0.05) among
183 the treatments.

184

185 Plant height, number of leaves and stem girth were generally influenced by the combined
186 application of organic and inorganic fertilizers at various rates at both 2014 and 2015 seasons.
187 This is in agreement with the results obtained by [21, 22] who achieved the most satisfactory
188 increased maize yield from the judicious combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizers [23]
189 as well as [24] reported that using 120 Kg Nha⁻¹ recorded taller maize plants with superior
190 number of leaves and leaf area index indicating that the higher N had supported vigorous growth
191 and production of thick canopy, efficient light interception, assimilate production and higher dry
192 matter production. [25] observed that growth characters like plant height, number of leaves per
193 plant stem diameter, leave area per plant green fodder yield, and dry matter were influenced by
194 the application of nitrogen. The data recorded in Table 2 showed that plant height increased
195 across the treatments at all stages.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the soils of the study area were low in major macronutrients. This suggests poor soil fertility that may require some extenuating measures to improve. Furthermore, the results indicated that combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers had significant effect on all agronomic growth parameters of maize under observation (plant height, number of leaves and stem girth). Maize growth performances as measured by the above parameters were generally enhance and better with application of $150 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1} + 80 \text{ kg Pha}^{-1}$ and 10 t ha^{-1} FYM in both seasons relative to other treatments and control. For optimum maize growth and vigour, therefore, combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers at full rates may be recommended particularly in the study area, a management practice that will keep the soil healthy and sustain productivity.

REFERENCES

1. Rates (2003). Mize market Assessment and Baseline study for Tanzania. Regional Agricultural Trade Expansion Support Program, Nairobi, Kenya.
2. Smaling, E.M.A. and Janssen, B.H. (1993). Calibration of QUEFTS, a model predicting nutrient uptake and yields from chemical soil fertility indices. *Geoderma* 59: 21 –44.
3. Kang, B.T., 1981. Nutrient requirement and fertilizer use for maize. In Agronomy training manual for agro service agronomist NAFPP/IITA. FED. Dept. Agr. .Lagos, pp: 405-416.
4. Adediran, J.A. and V.A. Banjoko, 1995. Response of Maize to Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium fertilizers in the savanna zone of Nigeria. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 26:593-606.

- 219 5. Shanti, K.V.P., M.R. Rao, M.S. Reddy and R.S. Sarma, 1997. Response of maize (*Zea*
220 *mays*) hybrid and composite to different levels of nitrogen. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 67: 424-
221 425.
- 222 6. Wondwosen, T.(2009),”Identification of growth limiting nutrient(s) in the major soil
223 types of Kindo Koye and Delbo Atwaro watersheds”, Woliaita zone, Southern Ethiopia.
224 In: CIDA/UPCD Sustainable rural agriculture development project research Abstract
225 collections, Hawassa University. P.26.
- 226 7. Tenaw, W., Husni, M.H.A., Anuar, A.R. & Rahman. Z.A.(2006),”Effect of coffee residue
227 and intercropping on soil physicochemical properties and yield of component crops in
228 Southern Ethiopia”, Ethiopian Journal of Natural Resources.8 (2):199-216.
- 229 8. Moyin – Jesu, E.I., 2002. Raising oil palm seedlings in urban cities using Sole and
230 amended forms of wood ash and saw dust. Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science,
231 pertanika 25(2):31 – 37.
- 232 9. Olayinka, A. (2009). Soil microorganisms, wastes and national food security. Inaugural
233 lecture series 222 Obafemi Awolowo University, ILe – Ife, Nigeria.
- 234 10. Bhattachavyya R, Kundu S, Prakash V, Chupta HS (2008) : Suitability under combined
235 application of mineral and organic fertilizers in a rainfed soy bean – wheat system of the
236 Indian Himalayas, Eur. J. Agron. 28:33 – 46.10.
- 237 11. Mando, A., Bonzi, M. Wopevels, M.C.S., Lompo, F and Stroosnijder, L. (2005) : Long-
238 term effects of mineral and organic fertilization on soil organic matter fraction and
239 Sorghum yield under Sudano – Sahelian conditions Soil Use Management 21:396 – 401.
- 240 12. Donovan, G. and Casey (1998): Soil fertility management in sub – Saharan Africa.
241 Technical paper, World Bank, Washington DC, pp 408.

13. Lombin, G. (1987):Fertilizer requirements of major cereals of Nigerian Savanna. Paper presented at the National Fertilizer Seminar, port – Harcourt. 50 pp.
14. Titiloye, E.O. (1982): The chemical composition of different sources of organic wastes and their effects on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) PhD. Thesis, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp 316.
15. Tiwari, A., Dwivedi, A.K. Dikshit, P.R. (2002):Long term influence of organic and inorganic fertilization on soil fertility and productivity of soybean. What system in a vertisol. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science 50:472 – 475.
16. Celik, I., Ortas, I and Kilic, S. (2004) : Effects of compost, mycorrhiza, manure and fertilizer on some physical properties of a chromoxecent soil. Soil Tillage Res. 78:59 – 67.
17. Buoyoucos C.J. (1962). Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of soils. Agron. J. 54 : 464 – 465.
18. Eno, J.U., Ibia, T.O, Ogunwale, J.A. Ano, A.O. and ESU, I.E. (2009). Manual of soil, plant and Water Analysis Sibon books Ltd. Lagos. 183.
19. Udo, E.J., Ibia, T.O., Ogunwale, J.A., Ano, A.O. and Esu, I.E. (2009). *Manual of Soil Plant and Water Analysis*. Sibon books Ltd flat 15, BK 6 Fourth Avenue Festac, Lagos.
20. Genstat Discovery Edition. 14th edition Statistical software. for license Available: <http://www.vsni.co.uk>
21. Titiloye, E.O. (1982): The chemical composition of different sources of organic wastes and their effects on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) PhD. Thesis University of Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp 316.

- 264 22. Zhao, Y., Wang, P., Li, J. Chen, Y., X and Liu, S. (2009) : The Effect of two organic
265 manures on soil properties and crop yields on a temperate calcareous soil under a
266 wheat – maize cropping system. European Journal of Agronomy 31:36 – 42.
- 267 23. Sumi, A. and T.C. Katanyama (2000): Effect of Nitrogen fertilizer application and solar
268 radiation on the growth response of sorghum seedling to soil moisture. Crop Science
269 69:513 – 519.
- 270 24. Oad, F.C., U.A, Buriro and S.K. Agha (2004) : Effect of Organic and inorganic fertilizer
271 application on maize fodder production. Asian journal of Plant Science 3 (3) : 375 – 377.
- 272 25. Ayub, M. Nadeen, M.A., Sharar, M.S. and Mohmood (2002):Response of maize (*Zea*
273 *mays*, L) Fodder to different levels of nitrogen and Phosphorus. Asian Journal of Plant
274 Science 1 (4):352 – 354.