

Original Research Article

Effect of Biochar Soil Amendment on Soil Properties and Yield of Sesame Varieties in Lafia, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The experiments were conducted during 201 and 2012 rainy season at the research and teaching farm of the college of agriculture, Lafia, Nasarawa state, Nigeria. To evaluate the effect of biochar amended soil on soil properties and yield of sesame varieties. The treatments consisted of three rates of rice husk biochar (0, 5 and 10t/ha) and three rates of sawdust biochar(0, 5 and 10t/ha) and two varieties of sesame(Yandev 55 and local variety) which were factorially combined and laid in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and replicated three times. The result showed that the soil is low in major nutrients before the incorporation of biochar. The soil was also acidic in nature (pH: 5.98). After incorporation of biochar and two years of cropping. Result revealed that both rice husk and sawdust biochars rates did not showed any significant effect on sand, clay and silt; but had a significant effect on % soil moisture content, bulk density, % porosity and % soil water-filled pore space. Application of 10t/ha produced the highest value of 10.697% and 10.77% soil moisture content, 36.47% and 35.58% of soil porosity, 50% and 50.6% soil water filled pore space in both rice husk and sawdust biochar. This is at par with application of 5t/ha of both biochars, but it is higher than the control treatment. However, bulk density decreases with increased rates of biochar application. Therefore the control produced soils with the higher bulk density of 1.67 g/cm³ and 1.69g/cm³ with rice husk and sawdust biochar respectively. Also, Rice husk and sawdust biochars rates had a significant effect on all the chemical properties in the soil. 10t/ha of rice husk and sawdust biochar produced the highest levels of pH, = 6.80:6.74; %TN, =0.15: 0.14; K, =0.59: 0.65; %OC, = 0.68:0.75; Mg, = 0.75: 1.14; Na = 0.71:0.79 and CEC= 7.83:8.05 respectively. This is at par with application of 5t/ha, but higher than the control. Increased biochar application resulted to gradual increase in all the chemical properties in the soil except H+Al which displayed an opposite trend. Application of 10t/ha of sawdust and rice husk biochar produced the highest seed weight of 0.93: 0.83t/ha and 0.90:0.95 t/ha in both years respectively. This is at par with application of 5t/ha of both biochars in the two cropping season, but higher than control. Sesame varieties also showed significant effect in both cropping season; Yandev 55 demonstrated its superiority against the local variety by producing 0.76t/ha and 0.77t/ha in 2011 and 2012 cropping season. However, interaction between sawdust biochar and rice husk biochar did not produce any significant effect on the soil properties and sesame yield.

KEY WORDS: Biochar, soil, amendment, sesame, yield

INTRODUCTION

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) belongs to the plant family *Pedaliaceae* commonly called beniseed in Nigeria. It is grown in both tropical and sub-tropical regions of Africa, Asia and Latin America. It is the most important crop from which oils are obtained and perhaps the oldest crop

44 cultivated for its oil [1]. Today India & China are the world's biggest producers of sesame
 45 followed by Myanmar, Sudan, Uganda, Nigeria, Pakistan, Tanzania, Ethiopia, Guatemala and
 46 Turkey [2]. In Nigeria, sesame is produced mainly in the savanna agro-ecological zones by
 47 small holders' farmers on relatively poor soils with limited inputs in the following state:
 48 Adamawa, FCT Abuja, Benue, Borno, Gombe, Jigawa, Kano, Katsina, Kebbi, Kogi, Nasarawa,
 49 Plateau, Taraba and Yobe [3]. However, sesame yields in Nigeria remained very low compare
 50 to other countries of the world [4]. This is because sesame is cultivated on marginal and sub-
 51 marginal lands with poor fertility, under rainfed conditions without any nutrients management.
 52 Survey reports have shown that fertilizers are not applied to sesame even in major sesame
 53 growing areas of Nigeria. This view is also upheld by other researchers like [5; 6; 7; 8; 9]. [10]
 54 listed the following: poor soil fertility, poor agronomic practice, pest and disease, weed
 55 infestation, low yielding cultivars as the major factors that contribute to low yield of sesame in
 56 Nigeria. [11] reported a rapid depletion of soil nutrients and poor physical condition of the
 57 savanna soils which constitute a strong limitation to crop production in the region. This soil
 58 nutrients depletion is caused by population pressure which forces farmers to grow crops year
 59 after year continuously on same plot of land without application of any soil nutrients restoratives
 60 measures. This usually results to "soil mining" or soil nutrients depletion which leads to
 61 decrease in crop yield [12]. Healthy soil contains a mixture of air, water, nutrients and organic
 62 matter in a right proportion which is the key for supporting healthy plants [13]. Therefore, every
 63 soil has the capacity of becoming a healthy soil especially when the soil benefit from
 64 amendments. [14] defines soil amendment as the use of organic or inorganic materials to
 65 improve both the physical and chemical properties of the soil. He also emphasized that the
 66 materials chosen for soil amendment must improve the physical properties of the soil such as
 67 the water retention, water infiltration, permeability, drainage, structure, and aeration. Because
 68 the goal of any soil amendment is to provide a better and more nourishing environment for plant
 69 roots. For soil amendment to work, the amendment materials must be thoroughly mixed within

the soil. If it is just placed under the soil, it can interfere with movement of water or air and the growth of the plant roots can be affected [15]. International Biochar Initiative (IBI) recommended the use of biochar as a materials for soil amendment. Because biochar is a stable form of carbon that can last for hundreds of years in the soil, compare to compost that breaks down so quickly to release nitrous oxide, methane and carbon dioxide into the atmosphere thereby increasing global warming. Biochar is a stable form of charcoal produced from heating natural organic materials (crop biomass, woodchips, manure and other agricultural waste) in a high temperature, low oxygen process known as pyrolysis [16]. The addition of biochar as amendment materials to agricultural soils is receiving much attention due to the apparent benefits of biochar to soil quality and enhanced crop yields, as well as the potential to gain carbon credits by active carbon sequestration [17]. Considering the low yield of sesame obtained in most growing areas as a result of non application of fertilizers and the poor fertility status of savanna soils. This study was aimed at evaluating the effect of biochar amended soil on soil properties and yield of sesame

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Climatic description of experimental site

The experiment was conducted during 2011 and 2012 rainy season at the research and teaching farm of the college of agriculture, Lafia, Nasarawa state, Nigeria. The study area falls within southern guinea savanna agroecological zone of Nigeria, and is located between Latitude 08.33N and Longitude 08.32E. Rainfall usually starts from March – October and the average monthly rainfall figures ranges from 40mm-350mm. The months of July and August usually records heavy rainfall. The daily maximum temperature ranges from 20.0°C – 38.5°C and daily minimum ranges between 18.7°C – 28.2°C. The months of February to early April are the months that have the highest maximum temperature while the lowest maximum temperature months are recorded in December and January because of the prevailing cold harmattan wind

from the northern part of the country at this period. The relative humidity rises as from April to a maximum of about 75- 90 percent in July [18].

Description of soil and vegetation of the experimental site:

The soil type of the study area composed of highly leached ultisols with low base saturation.

The soil is strongly acidic and has high content of iron and Aluminium oxides hence reddish

brown in colour with very low organic matter content and low total nitrogen and available

phosphate. The vegetation of the study area is that of the southern Guinea Savanna with

interspersed of thicket, grassland, trees, fringing woodlands or gallery forest along the streams.

The natural vegetation of the area is made up of grasses and some traces of scattered wild and

economic trees like *Vittellaria paradoxa* (Shear butter tree); *Parkia* spp (locust bean tree);

Gmelina arborea (beechwood); *Anacardium* spp (Cashew trees); *Magnifera indica* (Mango).

These trees usually shed off their leaves in the long dry season to conserve the available water.

Experimental design and Data collection:

The treatments consisted of three levels of rice husk biochar (0, 5 and 10t/ha) and sawdust

biochar(0, 5 and 10t/ha) and two varieties of sesame(Yandev 55 and local variety) which were

factorially combined and laid in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and replicated

three times to form fifty four plots. The plot size was 3 m by 4m and 0.5 m between plots and

1m between replicates.

The soil data for this study were collected from soil samples at the depth of 15cm from

experimental plots where biochar was incorporated and sesame was planted. The biochar of rice

husk and sawdust were produced locally through slow burning under limited oxygen condition

and incorporated at different rates into the soil. The experimental plots were close to each other

within the same climatic region, relief, parent material and soil types. This makes comparison of

soil properties between the different biochar types and rates possible. Then each plot was

divided into three strata and three composite soil samples were collected from each plot and

bulk together to form a bulk soil sample per plot, so that the land will be adequately covered

and the soil of the entire land will have equal opportunity to be collected without bias. Core method was also carried out in the field for determination of bulk density of the soil. All the samples were collected after 2years of cropping the land with maize.

Laboratory analyses

The soil samples were collected air-dried, and thoroughly mixed, then passed through 2mm sieve mesh to obtain a homogeneous particle sizes, after which standard laboratory procedures as described by [19] was used to determine both physical and chemical properties of these bulk soil samples. The Macro-Kjeldahl method was used to determine the total nitrogen in the soil samples. Available phosphorus was determined using extractable solution of sodium bicarbonate Na (HCO₃)₂.(Olsen 1945 method). Exchangeable bases were determined using flame atomic absorption spectrometry and the concentration of potassium was determined using the flame photometer. The organic carbon was determined using the Walkley-Black chromic acid titration method. Soil pH was determined using the electrometric method. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) is determined using ammonium acetate method; that is measuring the total amount of a given cation needed to replace all the cation from a soil exchange site and it is expressed in centimoles per 100 gram soil (Cmol/100g soil). The moisture holding capacity of the soil was determined using oven drying of soil.

$$\text{Soil moisture content (g/g)} = \frac{\text{weight of moist soil} - \text{weight of oven dry soil}}{\text{Weight of oven dry soil}} \quad (1)$$

The bulk density was determined by using core sampler.

$$\text{Soil bulk density (g/cm}^3\text{)} = \frac{\text{oven dry weight of soil}}{\text{Volume of soil}} \quad (2)$$

The percent total porosity was computed from the bulk density assuming a particle density of 2.65cm⁻³.

$$\text{Soil porosity (\%)} = 1 - \frac{\text{soil bulk density}}{\text{particle density}} \quad (3)$$

151 2.65

152

153

154 Soil water-filled pore space (%) = volumetric water content x 100
 155 (4)

156 Soil porosity

157

158 Volumetric water content (g/cm³) = soil moisture content (g/g) x bulk density (g/cm³)

159 The data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GENSTAT to
 160 determine the significant differences. Where there is a significant difference; the means were
 161 separated using F-LSD at 5% probability level

162

163 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

164 The result of the laboratory analysis of the soil and the biochars (Table 1) showed that the soil is
 165 low in nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and organic carbon. The soil was also acidic in nature
 166 (pH: 5.98). The rice husk biochar tend to be superior in chemical properties compared with the
 167 sawdust biochar.

168

169 Table 1: Results of laboratory analysis of soil and biochars before cropping

Physical and chemical Properties	Soil sample @ 0-15cm	Rice husk biochar	Sawdust biochar
Mechanical composition			
Clay (g/kg)	8.64	ND	ND
Silt	26.21	ND	ND
Sand	65.22	ND	ND
Textural classification (USD)	Sandy loam		
Ash content(%)	Nd	13.56	15.78
Chemical composition			
pH(H ₂ O)	5.98	8.12	8.85
pH(0.01M CaCl ₂)	5.34	7.52	7.92
T N%	0.13	4.25	3.85
Avail. P(ppm)	15.08	0.54	1.94
K(mg/kg)	0.16	3.63	2.00
OC(mg/kg)	0.42	6.13	6.78
C/N	3.23	1.44	1.76
Mg(mol/kg)	0.49	0.23	0.35
Ca(mol/kg)	4.34	1.34	1.65

Na(mol/kg)	0.85	0.21	0.34
CEC(mol/kg)	4.75	3.21	3.65

170 ND: Not Determined

171 Result of the study in table 2, revealed that both rice husk and sawdust biochars rates did not
 172 showed any significant effect on sand, clay and silt; but had a significant effect on % soil
 173 moisture content, bulk density, % porosity and % soil water-filled pore space. Application of
 174 10t/ha produced the highest value of 10.69% and 10.77% soil moisture content, 36.47% and
 175 35.58% of soil porosity, 50% and 50.6% soil water filled pore space in both rice husk and
 176 sawdust biochar. This is at par with application of 5t/ha of both biochars, but it is far better than
 177 the control treatment. However, bulk density decreases with increased rates of biochar
 178 application. Therefore the control produced soils with higher bulk density of 1.67 g/cm³ and
 179 1.69g/cm³ with rice husk and sawdust biochar respectively. Interaction between rice husk and
 180 sawdust biochar did not produced any significant effects.

181

182

183 Table 2: Effect of biochar soil amendment on physical properties of soil

Treatments (Biochars)	% Sand	soil particle sizes silt	clay	% SMC	BD (g/cm ³)	% Porosity	%Soil water- Fill pore space
Ricehusk(t/ha)							
0	70.33	13.33	16.33	9.27	1.67	33.26	45.8
5	69.00	15.67	16.33	10.66	1.60	35.38	49.7
10	68.33	14.67	17.67	10.69	1.58	36.47	50.0
Sawdust(t/ha)							
0	69.38	13.30	17.33	9.16	1.69	32.45	48.6
5	67.00	15.67	18.33	10.69	1.62	35.08	50.4
10	67.52	14.45	18.67	10.77	1.60	35.58	50.6
LSD(0.05)	2.24	2.05	2.12	0.29	0.07	2.00	1.23
Interaction							
RHB X SDB	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

184 RH=Ricehusk Biochar SDB=Sawdust Bioachar NS= No significant difference

The result of biochar soil amendment on chemical properties of soil sampled after two years of sesame production are presented in table three. Rice husk and sawdust biochars rates had a significant effect on all the chemical properties in the soil. 10t/ha of rice husk and sawdust biochar produced the highest levels of pH, = 6.80:6.74; %TN, =0.15: 0.14; K, =0.59: 0.65; %OC, = 0.68:0.75; Mg, = 0.75: 1.14; Na = 0.71:0.79 and CEC= 7.83:8.05 respectively. This is statistically the same with application of 5t/ha but higher than the control. Increased biochar application resulted to gradual increase in all the chemical properties in the soil except H+Al which showed an opposite trend. Interaction between rice husk and sawdust biochar did not produced any significant effects.

Table 3: Effect of biochar soil amendment on chemical properties of soil

Treatment	pH ratio		(%)		(ppm)		(Cmol/kg)				
Biochar (t/ha)	H ₂ O	0.01 Cacl ₂	OC	TN	Av. P	Ca	Mg	K	Na	H+ Al (Acidity)	CEC
Ricehusk											
0	6.37	6.01	0.54	0.09	23.18	4.47	0.22	0.24	0.49	0.60	5.42
5	6.58	6.46	0.66	0.13	24.35	5.14	0.53	0.42	0.49	0.48	6.58
10	6.80	6.82	0.68	0.15	32.35	5.78	0.75	0.59	0.71	0.37	7.83
Sawdust											
0	6.43	6.04	0.31	0.11	23.76	4.15	0.47	0.24	0.39	0.50	5.25
5	6.58	6.54	0.73	0.12	24.21	4.76	0.69	0.37	0.51	0.49	6.33
10	6.74	6.92	0.75	0.14	32.06	5.47	1.14	0.65	0.79	0.47	8.05
LSD(0.05)	0.23	0.35	0.17	0.02	0.71	0.91	0.17	0.13	0.18	0.19	1.02
Interaction											
RH X SD	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

RH=Ricehusk Biochar SDB=Sawdust Bioachar NS= No significant difference

Sawdust and Rice husk biochar showed a significant effect on yield parameter of sesame in 2011 and 2012 cropping season (Table 4). Application of 10t/ha of sawdust and rice husk

biochar produced the highest seed weight of 0.93: 0.83t/ha and 0.90:0.95 t/ha in both years respectively. This is at par with application of 5t/ha of both biochars in the two cropping season, but higher than control. Sesame varieties also showed significant effect in both cropping season; Yandev 55 demonstrated its superiority against the local variety by producing 0.76t/ha and 0.77t/ha in 2011 and 2012 cropping season. However, interaction between sawdust biochar and rice husk biochar did not produced any significant effect

206

207

Table 4: Effect of biochar soil amendment on yield and yield parameters of sesame varieties

Treatment (Biochars)	Number of pods/plant		Fresh pod weight/plant		Seed weight/ plant(g)		Seed weight/ plot(kg)		Seed weight t/ha	
Sawdust(t/ha)	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
0	49.32	54.52	32.23	34.10	4.06	4.49	1.09	1.01	0.64	0.63
5	52.42	56.81	36.96	38.42	4.91	4.97	1.30	1.23	0.83	0.77
10	68.00	71.82	39.10	39.93	5.27	5.78	1.49	1.34	0.93	0.82
Rice husk(t/ha)										
0	53.12	55.34	34.82	33.85	3.84	4.48	0.92	0.90	0.59	0.60
5	58.81	61.53	35.56	35.53	4.89	4.93	1.17	1.18	0.73	0.75
10	63.14	75.85	40.18	43.23	6.06	6.12	1.48	1.46	0.90	0.95
LSD(0.05)	10.94	10.85	4.95	3.42	1.07	1.27	0.21	0.30	0.11	0.12
Sesame varieties										
Local	55.72	59.32	35.05	37.12	4.75	4.77	1.14	1.13	0.72	0.71
Yandev 55	56.87	62.01	37.51	37.83	5.06	5.21	1.24	1.23	0.76	0.77
LSD(0.05)	0.93	0.50	0.85	0.24	0.18	0.13	0.06	0.10	0.02	0.04
Interaction										
SDB X RHB	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

RH=Ricehusk Biochar SDB=Sawdust Biochar NS= Not significant

210

DISCUSSION

The result in table one showed soil that is already exhausted due to intensive and continuous cultivation without adequate application of replenishment measures to sustain it productivity. This result agrees with finding of [20]. It was also observed that the soil is acidic. This result is in tandem with the research work of [21] who reported that soils around nasarawa state are acidic. Also both rice husk and sawdust biochars contained just small quantities of carbon and

carbon/nitrogen ratio. Therefore, this signified that the decomposition of these biochars material will continue at a slower rates and nitrogen will be released for use by crops and other micro organisms in the soil. Biochar incorporation into the soil as soil amendment improved both the physical and chemical properties of soil for crop production. This is in conformity with the work of [22] who reported that Biochar used as a soil amendment, can boost soil fertility and improve soil quality by reducing soil acidity, increasing moisture holding capacity, attracting more beneficial fungi and microbes, improving cation exchange capacity (CEC), and retaining nutrients in soil. [23] also reported that biochar enhances the water retention capacity of soils, thus improving dry or sandy soils and reducing irrigation requirements. The main mechanism behind the increased water holding capacity and improved saturated hydraulic conductivity can be attributed to the modification of the soil's porosity. Sandy soils have low water holding capacities, due to a dominant macro-and meso-pore systems present, with little to no organic material and/ or clay at hand. Bulk density is another key parameter to note, because it is directly affected by the application of biochar. The effect is possibly due to the modification in bulk density; before amendment of soil with biochar, the soil was more compacted and therefore a higher bulk density present, and with biochar amendment the soil strength decreased as the bulk density also decreased. These findings are in consonance with the recent research work by [24] who reported a decrease in soil bulk density after biochar additions, but increases in soil porosity and soil aeration. This may have a positive effect on root and microbial respiration. The significant response of sesame to biochar amended soil increases with application of more biochar rates in the soil. Increased biochar application increases crop yields over the control between 29.9% to 30% from the first year to the second year of cropping. This improvement in yield is as a result of incorporation of biochar into the soil which aid in: soil nutrients improvement, cation exchange capacity in the soil, decrease soil acidity, improve soil structure, nutrient use efficiency, improve water-holding capacity and carbon sequestration . This result collaborate the findings of most recent reviews by [25] who published data from 59 pot

experiments and 57 field experiments from 21 countries and found crop productivity was increased by 11% on average. They also discovered that field application of biochar rates of 10 tons/ha reported increases in crop productivity which varied with crop type with greater increases for legume crops (30%), vegetables (29%), and grasses (14%) compared to cereal crops like corn (8%), wheat (11%), and rice (7%).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the result of this study indicated that soil properties and yield of sesame varieties were significantly influenced by biochar soil amendment. Significantly higher yield were recorded with 10t/ha of rice husk and sawdust biochar, which is at par with application of 5t/ha in both 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons. Therefore, 5t/ha of these biochar materials can be adopted by the farmers in this agroecological zone.

REFERENCE

1. Onwueme, I.C. & T.D. Sinha (1991). Field Crop Production in Tropical Africa: Principles and Practice. Technical Centre for Agriculture and Rural Cooperation. Netherlands 488p.
2. Burden, D. (2005). Sesame profile. Available at <http://www.cropprofile.mht>. Accessed 15 January, 2008.
3. RMRDC. (2004). *Report on survey of Agro-Raw Materials in Nigeria Beniseed*. Raw Materials research and development council, Abuja. 99.
4. Abubakar, S. S., J. E. Onyibe, and E. B. Tologbonshein (1998). The role of extension, research and information dissemination in enhancing beniseed production and marketing for resource poor farmers. Proceedings of the First Workshop on Beniseed held at the National Cereal Research Institute, Badegi, Nigeria, March 3rd –5th, 1998. Pp86-89
5. Shehu, H. E.; Kwari, J.D.; and Sandabe, M. K(2009) Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Nutrition of Sesame in Mubi, Adamawa state Nigeria. *Research journal of agronomy* 3(3-4):32-36
6. Haruna I.M(2011) Growth and Yield of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) as affected by poultry manure, nitrogen and phosphorus at Samaru, Nigeria. *Journal of Animal & Plant*

- 279 *Sciences*, 21(4): 653-659
- 280
- 281 7. Haruna I.M.; and Abimiku M.S.(2012) Yield of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) as Influenced
- 282 by Organic Fertilizers in the Southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria *Sustainable*
- 283 *Agriculture Research* 1: 1
- 284
- 285 8. Jakusko, B.B., Usman, B.D. and Mustapha A.B.(2013) Effect of row spacing on growth and
- 286 yield of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) in Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Journal of*
- 287 *Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. 2: 3 36-39
- 288
- 289 9. Iorlamen,T. , Ayam, F. M. and Akombo,R. A(2014) Growth and Yield response of Sesame
- 290 (*Sesamum indicum* L.) to foliar and soil applied fertilizer in Makurdi, Benue state.
- 291 *International Journal of scientific research and management* (IJSRM) 2:2528-541
- 292
- 293
- 294 10. Jakusko, B.B. and Usman, B.D.(2013) Effects of npk fertilizer and plant population density on
- 295 productivity of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental*
- 296 *Management* 2:5: 121-126
- 297
- 298 11. Salako FK. Soil physical conditions in nigerian savannas and biomass production(2003)
- 299 department of soil science and agricultural mechanisation, university of agriculture,
- 300 abeokuta, nigeria lecture given at the college on soil physics. *World Journal of*
- 301 *Agricultural Sciences*. 2003;3(4):508-5021.
- 302
- 303
- 304 12. Ndor, E.; Dauda , S. N, and D.E. Azagaku(2013) Soil Macro-nutrient Dynamics Under
- 305 Maize based Cropping Systems in Ultisols of Southern Guinea Savanna,
- 306 Nigeria. *Best journal*.
- 307
- 308 13. Anderson, R.; Wight, J.; Wright, D.; and Wright, M.:(2012) Soil amendment with biochar for
- 309 fertility and yield
- 310
- 311 14. Warren, T. A.; (2010) Soil Amendments and Organic Materials. Ezine article.
- [http://EzineArticles.com/?expert=Thomas Arrama Warren](http://EzineArticles.com/?expert=Thomas_Arrama_Warren)
- 312
- 313 15. Davis, J.G and Wilson, C.R (2012). Choosing a Soil Amendment. Colorado State University
- Extension
- 314
- 315 16. Lehmann J., Hanley K., Hyland C., Rajkovich S., Miller-ter Kuile E., Cheng C. H. and Enders
- 316 A., (2008).Crop yield, nutrient uptake and leaching, soil water availability, and nitrous
- 317 oxide emissions with biochar from different feedstocks and produced at different
- 318 temperatures. Proceedings of the 2nd International Biochar Initiative Conference,
- Newcastle
- 319
- 320 17. Major, J.(2011) Biochar: a new soil management tool for farmers and gardeners.
- Appalachian Sustainable Development. IBI report
- 321
- 322 18. NIMET (2012). NIGERIAN METEOROLOGICAL AGENCY. LAFIA STATION.

- 323 19. Agbenin, J.O., 1995. Laboratory Manual for Soil and Plant Analysis (Selected methods and
324 data analysis). Published by the Author. Zaria, pp: 24-44.
325
- 326 20. Ndor, E.; and Iorkua, R. S (2013) Effect Of Agricultural Land Use On Carbon Sequestration
327 And Chemical Properties Of Soil In Lafia, Southern Guinea Savanna Agroecological
328 Zone, Nigeria. *Global Journal Of Biodiversity Science And Management*, 3(1): 68-72.
329
- 330 21. Jayeoba, O.J., D.U. Sangari and R.T. Alabi, 2012. Geo-statistical Analyses of the Spatial
331 Variation of Soil Reaction (pH) in Nasarawa State, North Central Nigeria. *International*
332 *Journal of Science and Advanced Technology (ISSN 2221-8386)* Volume 2 No 5
333
- 334 22. Chan, K.Y., van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A., Joseph, S. (2007). Agronomic values
335 of greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 45,
336 629-634.
- 337 23. Novak JM, Busscher WJ, Laird DL, Ahmedna M, Watts DW, Niandou MAS. Impact of
338 Biochar Amendment on Fertility of a Southeastern Coastal Plain Soil. *Soil Science*.
339 2009;174:105-112.
340
- 341 24. Oguntunde, P. G., Abiodun, B. J., Ajayi, A. E., & van de Giesen, N. (2008). Effects of
342 charcoal production on soil physical properties in Ghana. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*
343 *and Soil Science*, 171(4), 591-596. doi:10.1002/jpln.200625185
344
- 345 25. Liu, X.; Zhang, A.; Ji, C.; Joseph, S.; Bian, R.; Li, L.; Pan, G.; Paz-Ferreiro, J. (2013)
346 Biochar's effect on crop productivity and the dependence on experimental
347 conditions—A meta- analysis of literature data. *Plant Soil*. :10.1007/s11104-013-
348 1806-x.