



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16761
Title of the Manuscript:	Grain health protectant activity of essential oils against infestation and damage of haricot bean by Zabrotes subfasciatus (Boheman)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract should be revised according to the author guideline. It should contain different sub-sections as "Aims, Study design, Place and Duration of study, Methodology, Results, Conclusion". Besides, it should include relevant statistics results. "haricot bean grains, and extracts" should be added to the key words. Methodology of the study should be supported with reference for each part. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. All references style should be revised according to the instruction. Journal names are abbreviated according to the ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations. In reference list, Shaaya and Kostyukovysky (2006) was not found in the text. It should be removed from the reference list if not used. In Line 47 and 53 Shaaya et. al., 1997 was not found in reference list. If used in the text, it should be added to the	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	reference list. The punctuation signals are not compatible with instruction, should be revised.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Title should be rearranged according to the instruction with right aligned, bold, ariel, 18 font etc. Title of abstract should be ariel, bold, 11 font and caps. In abstract, Line 7-8, <i>Zabrotes subfasciatus</i> should include order and family, as (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) at first appearance. In introduction, Line 32 and 34, reference is written wrong, should be as Nchimbi-Msolla and Misangu, 2002), but it is not important, because in the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets. <i>P</i> values should be italicized and capitalized, besides, expressed to 2 digits whether or not it is significant ($P = .01$ or $P = .05$) Tables should be placed inside the text. Tables should be presented as per their appearance in the text. The discussion about the tables should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables. Double spacing should be maintained throughout the table. Tables should be revised according to the format, as well as title and footnote of table. Conclusion part after discussion should be given in a separate title and state major finding. Acknowledgements and Competing interests should be added to the text. If no, "Authors have declared that no competing interests exist." The punctuation signals are not compatible with instruction.	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Only recommended SI units should be used.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Title can be changed as “The effects of different essential oil extracts against <i>Zabrotes subfasciatus</i> (Boheman) on haricot bean grains.” Manuscript should be checked again according to the author guideline. Corrections and suggestions should be taken into consideration, and shortcomings should be completed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sevcan Oztemiz
Department, University & Country	Biological Control Research Station of Adana, Turkey