



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17417
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of West African Dwarf (WAD) Goats Fed Pleurotus tuber-regium biodegraded Cassava (manihot esculenta) peels based diets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study is interesting evidence and determine the Growth performance of West African Dwarf (WAD) goats fed diets containing fungi (<i>Pleurotus tuber-regium</i>) biodegraded cassava peels based diets and to also determine the cost benefit of feeding diets containing fungi biodegraded cassava peels to WAD goats. There are evidence the errors in spelling at work. It is recommended to check and care the spaces between each word or sentence; and others... marked on the document	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Consider the proper use of uppercase or lowercase, as appropriate. It is recommended to check and care the spaces between each word or sentence It is necessary space between each word or number (p.e. – line. 7 and other...) Adapt the title of tables - you need the correct title of table 1 (pp: 4 – line 89); it is recommended that the title of the tables is only the first letter capitalized (sentence type) and change the two points (: - by - .) Improve the wording in results and discussion; In line 259-260 is cited incorrectly to the work of...	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	On line 147 - table, in the evaluated parameters - super index letters appear and other standard - correct - to highlight the statistical difference * Align - justify the references section	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A good job, except for typos and / or words together, proper use of words in italics, and style of references.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University Of The State Of Mexico, Mexico