SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_AJEA_17833**
Title of the Manuscript:	**GENE PYRAMIDING IMPROVED RESISTANCE TO ANGULAR LEAF SPOT IN COMMON BEAN**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This is a good paper, concise and well organised. The following are the minor revision comments: 1. Add the following statement in the **Conclusion** of the **Abstract**: "Future studies needs to be conducted to determine how broad and effective combined resistances in these newly developed lines have against the variability of the ALS pathogen sampled in Uganda." 2. Keywords: Do not repeat words of title 3. Referencing in the text follow this style: [5,8,9] not [8];[9];[5]. Follow this style wherever necessary in the whole manuscript. i.e. Lines 20, 30, 35, 75. 4. Delete the words "(1957)" on lines 161 and 163 5. Replace "(P≥.05)" with "(P>.05)" on lines 196, 198, 200, 224.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Edward Missanjo**
Department, University & Country	**Malawi College of Forestry and Wildlife, Malawi**