SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_AJEA_18088**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of nitrogen levels and Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on biomass production, mineral nutrition, sugar and total phenolic content of two zea mays cultivars.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	**Title** is clear **Abstract** is not representative **Key words** : Add **Chlorophyll ,Phosphorus** ; **Introduction** is comprehensible, organized, and supported by appropriate references. **Materials and Methods** is clearly established **Results and Discussion** Results are consistent with the proposed objective and methods. The Discussion include the interpretation of the results and compare the most relevant results . **Conclusion** is not representative of this study	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Université Ibn Khaldoun, Algeria**