



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_18262
Title of the Manuscript:	Eco-friendly oil-in-water emulsion formulation of eucalyptus oil against some important phytopathogenic fungi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	According to the General Guideline for Authors: 1. ABSTRACT should include sections: Aims:..., Study design:..., Place and Duration of Study:..., Methodology:..., Results:..., Conclusion:.... 2. All references should follow the following style: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.1. 3. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [1]. Table 1 - this table was not cited in the manuscript text	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 39 is: "Eos...", should be: "EOs.." Line 55 is: "2.1. Chemicals.", should be: "2.1. Chemicals" Line 68 is: "2.2. Fungal species used.", should be: "2.2. Fungal Species" Line 70 is: "...<i>Humicola Fuscoatra</i>...", should be: "...<i>Humicola fuscoatra</i>..." Line 83 is: "2.4.2. Emulsion stability and re-emulsification", should be: "2.4.2. Emulsion Stability and Re-emulsification" Line 86 is: "2.4.3. Storage stability", should be: "2.4.3. Storage Stability" Line 97 is: "2.4.4. Mean particle size distribution.", should be: "2.4.4. Mean Particle Size Distribution" Lines 107, 108 is: "...12h...", should be: "...12 h..." Line 111 is: "2.4.6. Centrifugation test", should be: "2.4.6. Centrifugation Test" Line 117 is: "2.4.7. Persistent foam", should be: "2.4.7. Persistent Foam" Line 120 is: "... (95ml) ...", should be: "... (95 ml) .." Line 124 is: "2.4.8. pH Measurement.", should be: "2.4.8. pH Measurement" Line 125 is: "...pH Meter "Jenway Instruments 126 pH 3510 pH meter. It was...", should be: "...pH Meter (Jenway model 3510). It was..."	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 127 is: "2.4.9. Surface Tension.", should be: "2.4.9. Surface Tension" Line 131 is: "2.4.10. Density measurement.", should be: "2.4.10. Density Measurement" Line 134 is: "2.4.11. Flash point.", should be: "2.4.11. Flash Point" Line 141 is: "2.4.12. Viscosity Measurement.", should be: "2.4.12. Viscosity Measurement" Line 150 is: "2.5. Biological activity.", should be: "2.5. Biological Activity" Lines 152-154 is: "...in which we measure the inhibitory zone diameter using potato dextrose agar (PDA) media (200 g grated potato, 20 g dextrose, 15 g agar)...", should be: "...The inhibitory zone diameter using potato dextrose agar (PDA) media (200 g grated potato, 20 g dextrose, 15 g agar) was measured.." Lines 155-156, 292-293, 309-310 is: "...<i>Colletotrichum dematium</i>, <i>Drechslera hawaiiensis</i>, <i>Humicola Fuscoatra</i>, <i>Phoma spp</i> and <i>Nigrospora sphaerica</i>....", should be: "... <i>C. dematium</i>, <i>D. hawaiiensis</i>, <i>H. fuscoatra</i>, <i>Phoma spp</i> and <i>N. sphaerica</i>..." Line 159 is: "...contamination, Streptomycin was...", should be: "...contamination, streptomycin was.." Line 167 is: "2.6. Statistical analysis.", should be: "2.6. Statistical Analysis" Line 173 is: "3.1. Formulation characteristics.", should be: "3.1. Formulation Characteristics" Line 196 is: "...of eucalyptus oil" – without bold letters Line 212 is: "...1.28µm...", should be: "...1.28 µm.." Line 260 is: "...lower viscosity. (Zhong et al., 2013). Fig (1).", should be: "...lower viscosity (Fig. 1) [...]." In Table 1: Is: "Particle Size Distribution (Mean Diameter)", should be: "Particle size distribution (mean diameter)" Is: "1.28µm", should be: "1.28 µm" Is: "1.23µm", should be: "1.23 µm" Is: "Stability of Emulsion and Reemulsification", should be: "Stability of emulsion and re-emulsification" In Figure 1 - explain symbols: ×RT, 0C, 54C Line 275 is: "Figure 1. Rheological Properties...", should be: Fig. 1. Rheological properties.." Line 300 is: "...in Table (3 and 4) as...", should be: "...in Tables3 and 4	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	as.." Line 310 is: "...4625.6, 5277.4...", should be: "...4625.60, 5277.40.." Line 312 is: "...312.1...", should be: "...312.10.."	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The title of the manuscript describes its content. This manuscript requires minor corrections.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dorota Wojnicz
Department, University & Country	Department Of Biology And Medical Parasitology, Wroclaw Medical University, Poland