



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_18737
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of some plant growth regulators and calcium nitrate on growth, yield and fruit quality of "Le Conte" pear trees.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is not organized using the AJER instructions for Authors. The Authors have to read the template and organize each section of the manuscript. Please do not use ppm but mg/L if it is a p/v or a v/v solution Indicate the significance for ANOVA as AJER requires (see the template) Both in the abstract and in the Introduction, insert the complete name followed by (NAA) and (GA₃) when cite them for the first time. Why the Authors describe the solution concentration of naphthalene and giberellic acid as mg/L and for calcium nitrate as %? Please be consistent, always mg/L Page 5 and in the whole text, sometime the Authors describe an element using its complete name (calcium) and sometime they use the symbol (Ca). Please be consistent also for the chemical compounds. In the results and discussion sections the Authors have to insert the table number contemporary with	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the discussion of data. The Authors can include a thesis as reference only if its results are reported also in a scientific paper because thesis cannot be read by all the researchers (Ashour, 2000). Hyphens are not necessary in the conclusion section.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Italy