



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_18737
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of some plant growth regulators and calcium nitrate on growth, yield and fruit quality of "Le Conte" pear trees.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract -line 10 explain the second application (how many days after at full bloom. -line 17 write hectare-write the increase yield (kg/tree or %) with calcium nitrate versus control.Add two to three lines about conclusions Introduction Write the pear production in Egypt (total hectares and annual production) and commercial value (dollars or euros). Materials and methods -Add latitude, longitude and latitude at orchard -which pollinator was used in Le Conte pear variety? -Explain the fertilization N P K received during the experiment and water management (the experiment was conducted under irrigation or rainfall (explain the amount of water applied. -Add the chill hours recorded during 2012 and 2013. Line 18. Write hectare (all manuscript) Results , discussion and conclusion Are ok.Reference Is ok, check is Sarkar and Ghosh, 2005 or 2004	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Mexico