



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_19054
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Boron and Putrescine on Russet Asian pear (Pyrus spp. L.) under subtropical condition of Jharkhand province of India.
Type of the Article	Must be short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript studied the effect of foliar fertilizer of Boron and Putrescine on Russet Asian Pear. But the manuscript having a very week Discussion and didn't explain the conclusion and recommendation. So the author must rewrite a discussion section and explained the role of each materials on minimize russet in Asian pear	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some references found in the text sections but not found in references section, like Kakmak and Romheld 1997 in the line 55, and Liu et al 2006 in the line 67. Moreover there are some sentences need a references as in line 57, and 65	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No comment	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saad Farouk Mohamed Hussien gadalla
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Botany Department, Mansoura University, Egypt