



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20041
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF RURAL INFRASTRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS IN ONDO STATE: CASE STUDY OF ONDO STATE COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• A separate paragraph needs to be incorporated as conclusion in the main part of the paper.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Abstract of the paper may be suitably revised by changing the details about the sample size and details about statistical values. • A brief note on Relative Importance Index (RII). However, description on Pearson Correlation Coefficients is meaningless as it is a common measure of dispersion. • Details about the nature of research/ research design/ scale of measurement and questionnaire design i.e. structure needs to be incorporated.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	• No clues regarding development of questionnaires and subsequent use of validity and reliability of the scale. • Poor referencing.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay Kanti Das
Department, University & Country	Department of Commerce, Lumding College, Assam, India